ANNUAL REPORT ON RESULTS OF MAMMOTH COMMUNITY WATER DISTRICT GROUNDWATER MONITORING PROGRAM FOR OCTOBER 2011-SEPTEMBER 2012

Prepared for Mammoth Community Water District Mammoth Lakes, California

by
Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates
Groundwater Quality Consultants
Fresno, California

KENNETH D. SCHMIDT AND ASSOCIATES

GROUNDWATER QUALITY CONSULTANTS

600 WEST SHAW, SUITE 250 FRESNO, CALIFORNIA 93704 TELEPHONE (559) 224-4412

January 11, 2013

Mr. Greg Norby, General Manager Mammoth Community Water District P.O. Box 597 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546

Re: Annual Report on Groundwater Monitoring

Dear Greg:

Submitted herewith is our annual report on the results of the District groundwater monitoring program for the period October 2011-September 2012. I appreciate the cooperation of District personnel in conducting this monitoring and providing data tabulations. I recommend that arrangements be made to enable waterlevel measurements in Well No. 15 as soon as is feasible.

Sincerely yours,

Kenheth D. Schmidt Geologist No. 1578

Certified Hydrogeologist

No. 176

KDS/td

cc: Steve Kronick






TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LIST OF TABLES	iii
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	iv
INTRODUCTION	1
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	2
WELL CONSTRUCTION DATA	4
SUBSURFACE GEOLOGIC SECTION A-A'	7
PRECIPITATION	10
DISTRICT PUMPAGE	11
WATER LEVELS District Supply Wells Newer Wells Earlier Wells Deep Monitor Wells Shallow Monitor Wells Water-Level Elevation Contours	13 13 13 23 27 47 60
CHEMICAL QUALITY AND TEMPERATURE OF GROUNDWATER	62
MAMMOTH CREEK STREAMFLOW	63
VALENTINE RESERVE SPRINGFLOW	64
DATA EVALUATION AND INTERPRETATION	67
REFERENCES	68
APPENDIX A PUMPAGE AND WATER-LEVEL DATA FOR DISTRICT SUPPLY WELLS	
APPENDIX B PUMPAGE AND WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPHS FOR EARLIER DISTRICT SUPPLY WELLS	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

APPENDIX C	WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENTS FOR MONITOR WELLS
APPENDIX D	SUPPLEMENTARY WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPHS FOR MONITOR WELLS
APPENDIX E	CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF WATER FROM DISTRICT WELLS
APPENDIX F	MAMMOTH CREEK STREAMFLOW

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Title	Page
1	Construction Data for District Supply Wells	s 6
2	Construction Data for District Monitor Well	ls 8
3	Pumpage from District Wells	12

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

No.	Title	Page
1	Location of Wells and Subsurface Geologic Cross Section A-A'	5
2	Subsurface Geologic Cross Section A-A'	(pocket)
3	Water-Level and Pumpage Hydrograph for Well No. 15	14
4	Water-Level and Pumpage Hydrograph for Well No. 16	16
5	Water-Level and Pumpage Hydrograph for Well No. 17	18
6	Water-Level and Pumpage Hydrograph for Well No. 18	20
7	Water-Level and Pumpage Hydrograph for Well No. 20	22
8	Water-Level Hydrograph for Well No. 14M	31
9	Water-Level Hydrograph for Well No. 19	34
10	Water-Level Hydrograph for Well No. 21	36
11	Water-Level Hydrograph for Well No. 24	39
12	Water-Level Hydrograph for SC-1	43
13	Water-Level Hydrograph for SC-2	45
14	Water-Level Hydrograph for Well No. 22 and Pumpage for Well No. 15	48
15	Water-Level Hydrograph for Well No. 22 and Mammoth Creek Streamflow	49
16	Water-Level Hydrograph for Well No. 23 and Pumpage for Well No. 1	51
17	Water-Level Hydrograph for Well No. 23 and Mammoth	52

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued:)

No.	Title	<u>Page</u>
18	Water-Level Elevations in September 2012	61
19	Relationship Between Mammoth Creek Streamflow Dif- ference and District Pumpage (August-September, 2012)	65
20	Flow for Valentine Spring (1993-2001) and Mammoth Creek Streamflow (1993-2012)	66

ANNUAL REPORT ON RESULTS OF MAMMOTH COMMUNITY WATER DISTRICT GROUNDWATER MONITORING PROGRAM FOR OCTOBER 2011-SEPTEMBER 2012

INTRODUCTION

In Summer 1992, the Mammoth County Water District contracted for the drilling of five new test wells in Mammoth Lakes. One of these wells (No. 15) was converted to a supply well and pumping began on an emergency basis in Summer 1992. In December 1992, the California Department of Fish and Game filed an action against the District in Superior Court. Concerns were expressed by the Department about the potential impact of pumping of these wells on wildlife, vegetation, and fishery resources of Mammoth Creek and the Hot Creek headsprings, which is located downstream of the District wells. Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates (KDSA) completed a hydrogeologic evaluation (July 6, 1993) on behalf of the District, to respond to these concerns. In August 1993, a settlement agreement was made between the Department and the District. As part of this agreement, the District was to:

- Conduct routine monitoring in all District supply and monitor wells.
- 2. Install a new monitor well tapping consolidated rock at a location south of the District office.
- 3. Conduct monitoring in the new monitor well.
- 4. Prepare annual interpretive reports on the results of

groundwater monitoring for the water year.

Data available to the District from Wells SC-1 and SC-2 (part of the Long Valley hydrologic monitoring program) were to be included in this evaluation. This report comprises the twentieth annual report pursuant to the settlement agreement. The Mammoth County Water District is now the Mammoth Community Water District.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The District pumped 1,531 acre-feet of water from eight supply wells during the 2012 water year. This was about 3.5 times greater than the pumpage for the previous water year, and about 13 percent greater than the mean pumpage for 1983-2012. A comprehensive water-level monitoring program was conducted for District supply wells and monitor wells. In addition, water-level measurements were available for part of the water year for two other monitor wells east of the District wells. Flow measurements were not available for the springs at the University of California Valentine Reserve for the 2012 water year.

Water levels in most shallow wells tapping the uppermost glacial till were stable during 2011-12, even though the precipitation was below average. Groundwater is generally present in the uppermost strata only in the westerly and central part of the area, in

the meadow and near Mammoth Creek. Water levels in most of the District supply wells and in most other deep wells tapping the consolidated rock in or near the District well field were stable or fell during the 2012 water year. Water levels in most deep wells east of the District well field were stable during the 2012 water year. A water-level elevation contour map was prepared for September 2012. This map and other information indicate that the extent of the cone of depression due to pumping of District wells was limited in size, and did not extend to the east to District Monitor Well No. 24 or 26.

The results of water quality monitoring during the 2012 water year indicated the same trends as previous monitoring.

The results of the 2012 water year monitoring indicate that
District pumping did not influence Mammoth Creek streamflow. Flow
data for the springs at the Valentine Reserve for the 2002-12 water
years are not available. District pumping was not indicated to
have influenced flows at the Valentine Reserve springs through the
2001 water year (the last year of available records). In addition,
water-level declines due to pumping did not extend beyond the
vicinity of the District well field. Thus, there was no influence
on the Hot Creek headsprings, which are much more distant from the
District water supply wells than the monitor wells utilized for the

WELL CONSTRUCTION DATA

Figure 1 shows locations of District wells, a private supply well, a subsurface geologic cross section, two other monitor wells to the east (SC-1 and SC-2), and the spring area at the Valentine Table 1 summarizes construction data for the District supply wells. All of these wells tap consolidated rock, primarily basalt and scoria layers, and some also tap interbedded glacial till and conglomerate. Well No. 1 has been in service since the 1970's and Wells No. 6 and 10 have been in service since 1988. These three wells are termed the "earlier" District supply wells in this report. Well No. 15 was first put in service in July 1992 on an emergency basis. Well No. 18 was put in service in September 1994. Wells No. 16 and 20 were put in service in March 1995, and Well No. 17 was put in service in June 1995. Wells put in service in the 1992-95 time period are termed the "newer" District supply wells in this report. Wells No. 2, 3, 4, 5, and 7 (shown in Figure 1) were not put in service by the District because of low well yields. Wells No. 2 and 3 were subsequently destroyed, whereas the other wells were converted to monitor wells. A small amount of water was pumped from Well No. 7 in Summer 2012 for use at the Boys Camp.

Well No. 25 was drilled in August 2002, and was not pumped




FIGURE 1 - LOCATION OF WELLS AND SUBSURFACE GEOLOGIC CROSS-SECTION A-A'

TABLE 1 - CONSTRUCTION DATA FOR DISTRICT SUPPLY WELLS

Open Annular Seal	06-0	0-52	0-52	0-135	09-0	09-0	09-0	09-0
Periorated or Upen Interval (feet)	200-370	146-670	136-700	407-720	420-470 500-680	400-710	90-150 240-470	420-710
Cased Depth (feet)	370	670	100	407	715	513	480	420
Drilled Depth (feet)	382	670	700	720	710	710	710	710
Date <u>Drilled</u>	1976	11/87	10/87	8/92	8/92	7/92	8/92	9/92
Well No.	н	v	10	15	16	17	8 8	20

Wells No. 16, 17, 18, and 20 were modified in June 1994 in preparation for being put into service. The test wells that were drilled in 1992 and subsequently converted to production wells are termed herein the "new District supply wells".

during the 2002-2012 water years. This well was drilled to a depth of 700 feet, at a site north of Well No. 1 and east of Well No. 16. This well has been used as a monitor well. Table 2 summarizes construction data for District monitor wells. Eight of these wells (No. 5A, 14M, 19, 21, 24, 25, 26, and 30) are deep and primarily tap water in fractured volcanic rock. An annular seal was placed in Well No. 21 in July 1997, to preclude surface water and shallow groundwater from entering the well. Well No. 7 is a deep well located south of the basalt flow and taps water in a glacial morraine near Sherwin Creek. Well No. 11 is a deep well located south of the basalt flow and taps water in glacial till and granitic rocks. Well No. 5M taps water in the shallow fractured volcanic rock, just beneath the glacial till. The remaining monitor wells are shallow and tap groundwater in the uppermost glacial till or alluvium.

SUBSURFACE GEOLOGIC SECTION A-A'

Cross Section A-A' was developed during a previous evaluation, and was updated (Figure 2) by adding more recent water-level data. The locations of wells used for this section are shown in Figure 1. Cross Section A-A' shows that the uppermost till layer and volcanic rocks are continuous along the section. Groundwater has been found in the uppermost glacial till layer only in the vicinity of Dis-

TABLE 2 - CONSTRUCTION DATA FOR DISTRICT MONITOR WELLS

	Date	Drilled Depth	Cased Depth	Perforated or Open	Annular Seal
Well No.	Drilled	(feet)	(feet)	Interval (feet)	(feet)
4M	1984	89	68	68-69	0-20
5A	7/82(8/93)	357	357	112-357	0-112
5M	8/93	80	80	20-75	0-20
7	8/87	480	480	290-480	0-20
10M	88/9	27	27	7-27	0-5
11	7/88	009	009	170-360	0-20
11M	88/9	43	43	5-43	0-5
12M	88/6		27	7-27	0-5
14M	88/6	520	501	100-310	0-100
19	8/92	7	344	200-700	0-140
21	10/92 (7/97)	640	145 (157)	145-640 (157-640) (70-157)
22	9/92	85	85	55-85	0-25
23	9/92	65	65	30-65	0-25
2.4	8/93	45	430	300-450	0-20
25	8/02	700	530	340-530	09-0
26	2/06	708	989	621-686	3 08-0 3 0-80
) 					595-620
27	1/06	97	87	67-87	0 - 64
28	12/05	90	87	47-57	0-45
	•			67-87	57-65
29	11/05	97	97	77-97	09-0
30	12/05	640	009	516-600	0-200

Well No. 5 was modified in August 1993, so as to be sealed off opposite the glacial till and be perforated only opposite the volcanic rock, and re-designated Well No. 5A. An annular seal was placed in No. 21 in July 1997, and the values in parentheses are for the modified well.

FIGURE 2

SUBSURFACE GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTION A-A' (IN POCKET)

trict Wells No. 1, 4, 6, 10, 11, 12, and 15. Most of these wells are either in the meadow or near Mammoth Creek. Water production in the District supply wells is from highly fractured rock, often scoria layers, and sometimes from interbedded glacial till. The intervening less fractured rock probably acts as local confining layers. At Well No. 24, water was not found in the upper part of the basalt or in either of the till layers. Water in this well is in a fractured scoria layer. A lost circulation zone present in this well may influence the water level. In September 2012, there was a fairly uniform water-level slope (about 200 feet per mile) from Well No. 1 to No. 19 to No. 24. The part of the section east of Well No. 24 is oriented almost perpendicular to the direction of groundwater flow (shown later).

PRECIPITATION

Precipitation (inches of water) is routinely measured at the Lake Mary Store, and is an indication of the potential recharge to groundwater. The mean annual precipitation from 1990-2012 was 28.3 inches. The range in annual precipitation has been large, as the following discussion indicates. Precipitation at the Lake Mary Store averaged only 15.9 inches during the 2006-08 water years. During water years 1991-94, and 2001-04 the annual precipitation averaged 22.0 to 22.5 inches. During water years 1995-2000, annual

precipitation averaged about 39 inches. During the 2005-06 water year, the precipitation was 50.7 inches. During the 2011-12 water year, the precipitation was 15.8 inches, or similar to that for the 2006-08 water years. Trends in precipitation are useful when evaluating water-level changes in wells that have been measured as part of this program.

DISTRICT PUMPAGE

Pumpage records for District supply wells are provided in Appendix A. Table 3 shows monthly pumpage from District wells during the 2012 water year. The total pumpage was 1,531 acre-feet, or about 3.5 times that for the previous water year. Of this, 358 acre-feet were from Well No. 10, 265 acre-feet were from Well No. 6, 234 acre feet were from Well No. 17, 222 acre feet were from Well No. 15, and 193 acre-feet were from Well No. 1 (values are rounded to nearest acre-foot). The remaining District pumpage (259 acre-feet) was from Wells No. 16, 18, and 20. Records for the Snow Creek Golf Course Well (in the vicinity of Well No. 14M) show that 98 acre-feet were pumped during the 2012 water year. This well is owned by a private entity. About 100,000 gallons were pumped from Well No. 7 for use at the Boys Camp during 2012.

TABLE 3-PUMPAGE FROM DISTRICT WELLS

						Millio	Million Gallons	ns						
	2011			2012									M	Acre-
Well	0 t	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Gallons	feet
₽	90.0	0.31	1.25	0.35	1.33	4.07	7.45	2.81	12.69	13.75	12.46	6.24	62.77	192.55
9	0.32	0.18	1.26	0.19	0.10	1.28	3.84	0.13	2.30	26.75	24.00	26.14	86.50	265.33
10	0.77	0.14	0.69	0.03	0.13	0.70	1.54	0.10	6.11	39.90	37.31	29.34	116.76	358.17
15	1.28	0.19	90.0	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.01	00.00	1.54	23.04	23.68	22.40	72.36	221.96
16	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.22	10.78	8.32	19.39	59.48
17	3.90	0.00	0.00	90.0	0.00	0.19	1.15	12.61	10.45	13.57	18.11	16.26	76.30	234.06
18	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	3.31	0.26	0.01	0.01	00.00	3.63	11.13
20	0.08	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.39	5.40	13.13	14.93	15.06	12.23	61.33	188.14
Total (MG)	6.44	0.85	3.30	0.78	1.61	6.33	14.38	24.35	46.48	132.18	141.42	120.93	499.05	1530.83
Total (AF)	19.77	2.59	10.13	2.40	4.93	19.43	44.12	74.68	142.57	405.45	433.79	370.96	1530.83	

WATER LEVELS

District Supply Wells

Water-level measurements (static and pumping) for District supply wells are provided in Appendix A. Water-level hydrographs for the earlier wells (No. 1, 6, and 10) are provided in Appendix B.

Newer Wells

Figure 3 is a water-level and pumpage hydrograph for Well No. 15, extending back to when it was initially put in service in July In Summer 1992, the water level fell about 80 feet after several months of pumping, and normally ranged from about 260 to 280 feet during periods when the well was being significantly used through early 1995. During periods when the well was not used much for supply (i.e., May 1995-June 1998), the water level rose substantially. In June 1998, the depth to water in Well No. 15 was 156 feet, or the shallowest of record. In October 2003, depth to water in this well was 303 feet. The shallowest annual water level in this well fell from 156 feet in 1998 to 242 feet in 2004. water level in this well in Summer 2005 was near that in Summer In 2007, the shallowest water level was about ten feet shallower than in 2005. In late Summer 2007, the shallowest water level was about 50 feet deeper than in 2006. In September 2008, depth to water in Well No. 15 was 310 feet, the deepest of record.




FIGURE 3-WATER-LEVEL AND PUMPAGE HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 15

The water level rose about 30 feet between late 2008 and mid-2009 and then fell about 17 feet after July 2009. The water level in this well rose substantially between September 2009 and June 2011, primarily due to substantially reduced pumping from this well.

Depth to water in Well No. 15 was 198 feet in late June 2011.

There are no water-level measurements after September 2011 because the transducer failed and could not be removed for replacement.

Depth to water in Well No. 15 appears to be influenced primarily by the previous pumping history of the well and recharge.

Figure 4 is a water-level and pumpage hydrograph for Well No.

16. The water level in this well changed substantially after the casing was installed (July 1994) and after the pump was installed (February 1995). After the casing was installed and prior to the pump installation, an access tube was not in the well, and the measurements during that period were apparently affected by cascading water. The measurements for July 1994-early February 1995, and for April-May, 1998 appear to not be representative. During heavy pumping periods of Well No. 20, the static level in Well No. 16 has been about 12 feet lower than during periods of lower pumping of Well No. 20. There were seasonal declines of about 20 to 30 feet during pumping periods of Well No. 16 in 2002. Overall, shallow static levels in Well No. 16 were relatively stable between 1992 and 2003, and fell in 2004. Water levels in this well were




FIGURE 4-WATER-LEVEL AND PUMPAGE HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 16

the lowest of record in Summer 2004. This is likely due to the below normal precipitation in previous years. Water levels in this well slightly rose during 2005, and then rose about ten feet during the 2006 water year. There was essentially no pumpage from this well during the 2006 water year. Pumpage from Well No. 16 resumed in 2007 and in 2008. Because of a restriction in the sounding tube, the water level in this well wasn't measured between July 2006 and mid April 2010. In April 2010, a transducer was installed and reliable transducer records are available from April 19, 2010 through March 2012. The water level in this well rose seven feet between April-September 2010, and then rose slightly through September 2011. The water level rose five feet from September 2011 through March 2012. The transducer failed after March 2012 and was not replaced. There are no water-level measurements for this well from April-September, 2012.

Figure 5 is a water-level and pumpage hydrograph for Well No. 17. Measurements in early 1995 indicated that the water level apparently rose about eight feet, probably due to recharge. The water level in Well No. 17 also appears to be influenced by pumpage of Well No. 20. During operational periods of both of these wells, the static level in Well No. 17 has been about four feet lower than during periods of little pumpage. The water level in Well No. 17 gradually rose during November 1995-August 1999, except during some




FIGURE 5-WATER-LEVEL AND PUMPAGE HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 17

pumping periods. The shallowest depth to water yet measured in this well was in January 2000. The water level in this well fell during 2000-2005 due to heavier pumping of this well and less recharge compared to previously. The water level in this well rose about nine feet during 2006 and early 2007 due to recharge. water level in Well No. 17 rose about a foot in late 2007 and early 2008, and the water level then fell about four feet through September 2008. The water level rose three feet in November 2008 and then fell four feet through March 2009. The water level then rose six feet through July 2009, and then fell one foot through September 2009. The water level in Well No. 17 fell through January 2010, was stable for January-June, 2010, then fell from June to November 2010 due to pumping of the well. The water level slightly rose through January 2011, then fell through June 2011 due to pumping. After pumping of Well No. 17 stopped, the water level rose about 14 feet through early April 2012. The water level then fell three and a half feet by the end of September 2012.

Figure 6 shows water levels and pumpage for Well No. 18. The overall trend for this well during non-operational periods was a slight water-level rise through 1997. The water level was relatively constant during 1998-early 2002. In early June 1998, the water level in Well No. 18 was 30 feet deep, the shallowest yet




FIGURE 6-WATER-LEVEL AND PUMPAGE HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 18

The water-level decline of about ten feet in this well measured. during July 1998 appears to have been due to pumping of Wells No. 10 and 15. The water level in this well was 108 feet in September 2002, the lowest for the period of record. Water levels in this well stayed relatively constant during 2002-05. The water level rose almost 40 feet during the 2006 water year, primarily due to increased recharge. The water level in this well fell about 45 to 50 feet after March 2007, and this was primarily due to pumpage of The water level in this well stayed about 100 feet deep between July 2007 and December 2008, fell about six feet by April 2009 (due to pumping of the well), then rose about 20 feet by September 2009. There was little pumpage from this well during 2010-11, and the water level rose to a depth of about 44 feet by July The water level was stable through June 2012, and then fell about 17 feet by the end of September 2012.

Figure 7 is a water-level and pumpage hydrograph for Well No. 20. From 1994-98, the overall trend was a rising water level. The shallowest levels in Well No. 20 to date were in late 1998 and early 1999. The water level in this well fell after early 2001. The water-level declines in this well during the summers of 1999-2002 were mainly due to pumping of the well itself. The water level in this well may also be affected by pumpage of Well No. 17. The water level in Well No. 20 recovered significantly in 2003, due




FIGURE 7-WATER-LEVEL AND PUMPAGE HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 20

to a lack of pumping prior to August. During 2002-05, water levels in this well stayed relatively constant. The water level rose almost 20 feet during 2006-07. After early June 2007, the water level in this well fell about 40 feet, primarily due to pumping of the well. The water level rose after September 2007 through August 2008, then fell in September 2008. The water level rose ten feet from November 2008 to June 2009, then fell eight feet through September 2009 (due to pumpage of the well). The water level rose between January and April 2010, when the pumpage from the well was small, and remained relatively stable through February 2011 due to low pumpage. The water level fell about five feet due to pumping of the well in July and August 2011, then recovered after pumping stopped. The water level rose 28 feet from September 2011 through May 2012, then fell 18 feet by the end of September 2012.

Earlier Wells

Water-level and pumpage hydrographs for Wells No. 1, 6, and 10 are provided in Appendix B. The static water level in Well No. 1 has ranged from about 160 to 200 feet during low pumping periods to an average of about 270 feet during heavy pumping periods (i.e., August 1994). Overall, the water level in this well rose between 1992 and 1997, slightly declined from 1997 to Spring 2002, fell during 2002-03, and then rose in 2004-05. In June 1998, depth to

water in this well was 160 feet, or the shallowest measured since 1990. During the 2006 water year, the water level in this well was relatively stable until July, when it fell about 10 feet due to increased pumping of the well. The water level in Well No. 1 rose about 35 feet from July 2006 until March 2007. After March 2007, the water level had fallen about 60 feet by early August 2007 due to pumping of the well. The water level then rose about 18 feet due to a reduction in pumpage from the well. The water level in Well No. 1 fell 47 feet during June-September, 2008 due to pumpage of the well. The water level rose 57 feet by July 2009, and then fell about 20 feet by September 2009, due to pumpage of the well. After September 2009, the water level generally rose, when pumpage from the well was minimal. The water level in Well No. 1 rose about 15 feet between October 2010 and April 2011, then fell about 17 feet through August 2011. After August, the water level rose about three feet by the end of September 2011, and remained stable through June 2012. The water level fell 22 feet from June through August 2012 primarily due to pumping of the well, then rose about six feet through the end of September 2012.

The static water level in Well No. 6 has ranged from less than 30 feet during low pumping periods (after September 1995) to more than 160 feet during heavy pumping periods (August-September, 1994). During May-September, 1996, in part of 1997, and during

late 1999 through Fall 2001, the static level in this well was at or above the land surface. This well wasn't pumped during September 1997-September 2001. After pumping of the well resumed in October 2001, the water level fell to about 50 to 70 feet deep through May 2003. The water level then rose more than 49 feet by June 2004. Later in Summer 2004, the water level fell to a depth of about 117 feet, due to increased pumping from the well. September 2005, depth to water was 44 feet. The well was pumped only a small amount during water year 2006, and the water level had recovered to a depth of about seven feet by March 2006. The water level in Well No. 6 had fallen about 30 feet by July 2007 and another 30 feet by September 2007, primarily due to pumping of this The water level in Well No. 6 rose 33 feet between September well. and November 2007. The water level in Well No. 6 then fell almost 50 feet between November 2007 and September 2008, associated with pumping the well. The water level then rose about 65 feet through June 2009, then fell about 25 feet through September 2009 due to pumping of the well. The water level in Well No. 6 then rose through December 2009, before falling about 25 feet by May 2010. The water level rose 30 feet by June 2010, then fell about 35 feet by September 2010. The water level in Well No. 6 rose 56 feet between October 2010 and July 2011, then fell about two feet through the end of September 2011. The water level was stable from September 2011 through June 2012, and then fell 54 feet by the end of the 2012 water year. This decline was primary due to pumping of Wells No. 6 and 10.

The static water level in Well No. 10 has ranged from less than 30 feet deep during the low pumping periods (July 1995), to more than 160 feet during heavy pumping periods (Summer 1993). During the 1996-2000 water years, depth to water was usually less than 30 feet, except for short periods. In August 2001, the well began to be pumped more and the water level was usually about 70 to 90 feet deep during the 2002 water year. During Summer 2005, the water level fell to a depth of about 137 feet, near the level in However, depth to water was 63 feet by late September 2005, following the cessation of summer pumping. During the 2006 water year, the water level rose to a depth ranging from about 10 to 15 feet. This was largely associated with a large reduction in pumping from Wells No. 6 and 10 during 2006. In 2007, the water level in this well fell about 55 feet, primarily due to pumping of the The water level in Well No. 10 rose almost 20 feet during September-November 2007, due to a reduction in pumpage. level then fell about 30 feet during November 2007-March 2008. water level in Well No. 10 rose about 10 feet during March-July, 2008, and then fell almost 30 feet during July-September, 2008. The water-level declines during 2007-08 were associated with pumping of the well. After September 2008, the water level rose about 60 feet through June 2009, then fell about 10 feet through September 2009. The water level in Well No. 10 then gradually rose through December 2009, then fell more than 30 feet by May 2010. The water level then rose more than 35 feet through June 2010, before falling an equal amount by September 2010. The water level in Well No. 10 rose 68 feet from October 2010 to August 2011, then fell three feet by the end of September 2011. The water level was relatively stable through June 2012, and then fell 66 feet by the end of the 2012 water year. This decline was also primarily due to pumping of Wells No. 6 and 10.

Deep Monitor Wells

Water-level measurements for monitor wells are provided in Appendix C, and supplementary water-level hydrographs are provided in Appendix D. Transducers were installed in four of the deep monitor wells (No. 14M, No. 19, No. 21, and No. 24), and continuous water-level measurements commenced in December 1995. The transducers in Wells No. 19 and 21 were subsequently removed, and installed in Wells No. 26 and 30.

Well No. 5A is located between Well No. 1 and the Valentine
Reserve North Spring (Figure 1). Depth to water in Well No. 5A has
ranged from near the land surface to about seven feet. The waterlevel measurements for this well are pressure levels, and are not

indicative of unconfined conditions near the land surface. 1995-99, the annual shallowest level was near the land surface, and overall the water level rose. Seasonal water level declines in this well ranged from about three to four feet during 2000-2002. These declines are indicated to have been due to pumping of Well No. 18 and possibly Well No. 15. The shallowest annual water level in Well No. 5A fell about six feet between 1999 and 2004. However, this level rose to a depth of about 2.5 feet in May 2005, to about 3.0 feet in June 2006, and was near the land surface in July 2007. This was associated with a decrease in pumpage from Well No. 18. The water level in Well No. 5A fell about four feet after July 2007, probably primarily due to pumping of Well No. 18. The water level in Well No. 5A rose two and a half feet during September 2007-July 2008, then fell two and a half feet during July-September, 2008. The water level rose two and a half feet between October 2008 and June 2009, then fell about two feet by September 2009. The water level in this well was relatively stable through April 2010, then rose about two and a half feet by May 2010. The water level then fell through September 2010. The water level in Well No. 5A fell one foot between October 2010 and January 2011, then rose five feet through May 2011. The water level then fell four feet by January 2012, then rose about three feet through May 2012. The water level then fell three feet by the end of September 2012.

Well No. 7 is located in the Sherwin Creek campground, about one and a third miles east of Well No. 6. Measurements for Well No. 7 indicate that depth to water has ranged from 234 to 292 feet. The influence of recharge during 1995 and 2005-06 is apparent. Drawdowns of about 10 to 20 feet during 2000-2003 were apparently due to the pumping of the well itself. This well has been pumped for the Boy's Camp. The shallowest annual level in this well fell about twenty feet between 1998 and 2003. The lower water levels in 2003 are attributed partly to more pumpage from the well than previously. Water levels in this well could not be measured in 2004-05 because of a malfunctioning sounding tube. The shallowest water level of record in Well No. 7 (234 feet) was measured in late July 2006, associated with more recharge. The water level in this well fell about 12 feet during Summer 2007, primarily due to pumpage of the well. The water level in Well No. 7 fell 15 feet between September 2007 and June 2008. The water level then rose almost 10 feet during June, then fell about 10 feet through the end of August 2008. The water level in Well No. 7 rose two feet in September 2008. The water level fell about ten feet between September 2008 and May 2009, and then rose three feet by June 2009. The water level in Well No. 7 was relatively stable through June 2010, then rose about 12 feet by August 2010. The water level in the well rose about 19 feet between December 2010 and July 2011,

then fell about 19 feet by October 2011. The water level then the rose three feet through December 2011. The water level then fell one foot through January 2012, then rose about 14 feet through May 2012. The water level then fell nine feet by the end of September 2012. The water level in this well has primarily been influenced by recharge from Sherwin Creek.

Well No. 11 is located in the meadow area, about one quarter mile south of Well No. 10. The deepest level (51 feet) in Well No. 11 was in May 1993, and the shallowest levels were near the land surface during most of the period after July 1995. Water levels in this well represent pressure levels, and are not indicative of unconfined deposits near the land surface. The water levels were deepest during drought conditions and heavy pumping of Wells No. 6 and 10. The shallowest water levels occurred during wet years and low or moderate pumping of Wells No. 6 and 10. The water level in this well was still near the land surface during the 2012 water year. The water level in this well has been influenced by surface flow, particularly in the Bodle Ditch, which passes through the meadow area, and apparently by pumping of Wells No. 6 and 10.

Well No. 14M is located about two-thirds mile east of Well No. 15. The manual water-level measurements for Well No. 14M (Figure 8) indicate that the depth to water normally ranged from about 350 to 360 feet prior to June 1995. The annual shallowest water level




FIGURE 8 - WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 14M

in this well rose between 1994 and 1998 and between 1999 and 2000. These rises were primarily associated with recharge and the reduction in pumping of Wells No. 6 and 10 at those times. level in this well fell about 95 feet between July 2000 and January 2002, primarily due to pumping of Wells No. 6 and 10. The water in this well was relatively stable during 2003-04, then rose significantly in June 2005, apparently due to recharge. By November 2005, the water level had fallen to near the levels prior to this recharge. Recharge was indicated in 2006, as the water level rose about 55 feet, to the shallowest level of record (223 feet) in July. The water level in Well 14M then fell about 35 feet in 2006-07, associated with pumping of wells in the vicinity. The water level in Well No. 14M rose about 10 feet after April 2007, then had fallen about 50 feet by April 2008, associated with this pumping. The water level in Well No. 14M was relatively stable from May 2008 through May 2010. The water level then rose about 25 feet by August 2010. The water level in this well was relatively stable from October 2010 through April 2011, then rose 55 feet through August 2011. After August 2011, the water level fell about 51 feet through September 2012. The water level in this well is influenced by recharge and pumping patterns of Wells No. 6 and 10, and the Snow Creek Golf Course well. Transducer measurements that are con-sidered reliable are available for Well No. 14M for November 1, 1996-September 30, 2003, except for October 1997, June 1998, and

March 2001. The transducer was recalibrated in May 2003, and the 2001-03 measurements agree well with the manual measurements. Reliable transducer measurements are also available from December 14, 2003 through July 31, 2004, December 10, 2004-July 6, 2005, August 12-October 30, 2005, November 30, 2005-May 26, 2006, and August 28, 2007-December 7, 2007. The transducer was recalibrated on April 1, 2007. There was a data logger failure in early September 2007. The transducer was in operation by October 10, 2007. Reliable transducer measurements are available from October 10, 2007 to December 10, 2009. The transducer started malfunctioning on December 10, 2009, and a new transducer was installed as of August 19, 2010. Reliable transducer measurements are available for the rest of the 2010 and for the 2011 and 2012 water years.

Well No. 19 is located about four-fifths of a mile east of Well No. 1. Based on manual measurements (Figure 9), the water level in Well No. 19 has ranged from about 312 to 357 feet deep. The water level in this well generally rose from 1995-98, during a series of wet years. In October 1997, depth to water was 312 feet, or the shallowest of record. During 1999, the water level in Well No. 19 fell about 30 feet, to below the levels in 1994 and early 1995. However, there was no decline during 2000-2004. During this period, depth to water in this well was usually about 340 to 345 feet. The water level in this well sightly rose in 2005 and 2006.




FIGURE 9 - WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 19

From 2006 through March 2011, the water levels in this well were relatively stable. The water level in Well No. 19 rose five feet between March and June 2011, and slightly declined through November The water level was then stable through August 2012, then fell three feet through the end of September 2012. The water level in this well has responded primarily to recharge patterns. ducer readings that are considered fairly reliable are available for this well from November 1, 1996-September 10, 1997, from November 1, 1997-September 30, 1998, except for June 1998, and from May 4-September 30, 2003 (Appendix D). The transducer in Well No. 19 was recalibrated in May 2003. Reliable transducer measurements are also available from December 4, 2003 through the end of July 2004. The transducer was recalibrated on November 3, 2004 and measurements were reliable for the rest of the 2005 water year. transducer was recalibrated on April 1, 2007. Reliable transducer measurements are available for October 1, 2005-February 22, 2006 and May 9-November 6, 2007. The transducer in this well was removed on November 6, 2007 and placed in another well.


Well No. 21 is located about three-fourths of a mile east of Well No. 20. Based on manual measurements, the water level in Well No. 21 (Figure 10) has ranged from about 231 to 370 feet in depth. The water level in this well rose significantly between early 1995 and late 1996. There was a water-level decline in this well from December 1996-February 1997, and the water level then rose through

FIGURE 10 - WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 21

June 1997. Most of the rise is attributed to recharge, which may have been enhanced due to a lack of an annular seal in the well. An annular seal was placed in this well during July 1997. Since July 1997, the water level in this well has slightly risen. water level rose about three and a half feet during the 2006 water year. In September 2007, the water level in this well temporarily fell about five feet, and then recovered. The water level in this well temporarily fell about four feet during October 2007-September 2008, then recovered by October 2008. During October 2008-May 2011, the water level in this well was relatively stable. water level then rose four feet by June 2011, then was stable through October 2011. The water level fell three feet through March 2012, then rose three feet through May 2012, and then remained stable through August 2012. The water level then fell two feet by the end of September 2012. The water-level measurements in this well have indicated no significant response due to pumping of District wells. Rather, the changes are primarily related to the presence or lack of recharge. Transducer measurements that are considered reliable are available for Well No. 21 from November 1, 1996-May 31, 1997, November 1, 1997-September 30, 1998 (except for June 1998), and May 4, 1999-September 21, 2005 (Appendix D). The transducer in this well was recalibrated in May 2003 and in November 2004. Reliable transducer measurements are available for October 7, 2005-September 30, 2007. The transducer in this well was

removed at the end of September 2007. Because of the small waterlevel fluctuations that have occurred, manual measurements are adequate.

Well No. 24 is located about one mile east of Well No. 19. Figure 11 is a water-level hydrograph for Well No. 24, based on manual measurements. Measurements for this well began in Summer 1993, and depth to water has ranged from 352 to 394 feet. The water level rose after early 1995, to the shallowest depth of record (351 feet) in December 1998. The water level fell during 2002-03, and was relatively constant in 2004. After November 2004, the water level in Well No. 24 rose about nine feet. During the 2006 water year, the water level rose about ten feet. The water level in this well rose through May 2007, then stabilized. The water level in this well fell 25 feet between August 2007 and May 2010. The water level then rose about four feet through July 2010. The water level in Well No. 24 then fell about four feet by October 2010 and then was relatively stable through May 2011. After May 2011, the water level rose about 14 feet through June 2012. The water level was then relatively stable through the end of September 2012. The water level in this well responds primarily to recharge, and no influence of District pumping is apparent. Rather, the water level changes are due to the presence or lack of recharge. Transducer measurements are not available for this well between April 3, 1997 and April 30, 1998, due to equipment failure. The transducer was recalibrated on January 1,



Transducer measurements for this well after this calibration 2001. were generally consistent with manual measurements through early Transducer measurements between mid October 2001 and October 2001. early May 2002 were found to not be reliable. The transducer was removed from the well and recalibrated on May 9, 2002. Reliable transducer measurements are available for the rest of the 2002 water year through the end of the 2005 water year, and for the 2006 water The transducer was recalibrated on April 7, 2006. Reliable transducer measurements for the 2007 water year are available through September 16, 2007. All of the data from the data logger for the 2008 water year was lost by the District. The transducer was recalibrated and reactivated on October 7, 2009, and reliable records are available for the rest of 2009 and for the 2010, 2011, and 2012 water years.

Water-level hydrographs for Wells No. 25, 26, and 30 are provided in Appendix D. Well No. 25 is located north of District Well No. 1. Although Well No. 25 has been equipped as a supply well, it was not pumped thorough the end of the 2012 water year. Water-level measurements for Well No. 25 commenced in late 2002 and are available through July 2007. To date, the water level in Well No. 25 has responded primarily to pumpage of nearby District Well No. 1. Depth to water has ranged from 305 to 337 feet, and has been greatest during the late summer when Well No. 1 has been pumping. The water level in this well rose during 2002-2007, and the shallowest mea-

sured water level to date was in May 2007. Water levels in this well have not been measured since July 2007 because of no access.

Well No. 26 is located to the east, north of Well No. 24. water level in Well No. 26 fell from a depth of 249 feet in June 2006 (the shallowest level of record) to almost 269 feet in December 2009 (the lowest level of record). This was primarily due to decreased recharge during dry years. After January 2010, the water level in this well rose about eleven feet by June 2010, and then fell about seven feet by September 2010. The water level in Well No. 26 was stable from October 2010 through March 2011, then rose about 11 feet through May 2011. The water level then fell about six feet through March 2012, then rose about three feet through May The water level then fell about four feet through July 2012. The water level then rose five feet by early August 2012, and then fell slightly through the end of September 2012. The water-level changes in this well are due to the extent of recharge. transducer measurements for this well are available from December 11, 2007-December 13, 2007. The transducer in this well was removed on December 13, 2007 and reinstalled on April 1, 2008. The transducer was operational from April 1 to 16, 2008, and then was removed for the rest of the water year. The transducer was reinstalled in April 2009 and was operational through the end of the water year. The transducer started malfunctioning on February 4, 2010 and a new transducer was installed on August 16, 2010. The transducer was removed on July 25, 2012 and reinstalled on July 31, 2012. Reliable measurements are available after August 16, 2010, except for July 25-31, 2012.

Well No. 30 is located east of the Snow Creek Golf Course. The shallowest level of record (452 feet) was in March 2007. Water levels in Well No. 30 rose 13 feet between June 2006 and May 2007, and then fell 14 feet between October 2007 and September 2009. The water level in this well fell about two feet during October-December, 2010, to the lowest level of record (468 feet). The water level then rose about ten feet through May 2012, and then fell three feet through the end of September 2012. The water level in this well primarily responds to recharge. A transducer was installed in this well on June 25, 2008 and was operational through the end of the 2009 water year. The transducer malfunctioned in Well No. 30 for 2010 water year. A new transducer was installed in January 2011, and reliable measurements are available since then.

Figure 12 is a water-level hydrograph for SC-1, which taps groundwater in the upper part of the basalt east of the District wells. The water level in this well generally fell from June 1983 through early 1995. However, there were some water-level rises during this period due to recharge. Significant recharge was evident during 1995, 1996, and 1998. The shallowest water levels measured in SC-1 were in June 1983 and late July 1995. In July 1998, depth to water in SC-1 was near that in August 1983. Overall, the water




FIGURE 12 - WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR SC-1

level in this well was relatively stable during 1996-2000. shallowest annual water level then fell about seven feet between 2000 and 2002, rose slightly in 2003, and fell about five feet in The shallowest seasonal water level then rose about 18 feet in 2005 and another 13 feet in early 2006. The seasonal low water level also rose between 2005 and 2007. These rises were due to increased recharge. The water level in Well SC-1 rose about three feet during April-July, 2008, then fell about six feet during July-December, 2008. The water level then rose about six feet through June 2009 and then fell about ten feet through February 2010 then fell about six feet during July-December, 2008. The water level then rose about six feet through June 2009 and then fell about ten feet through February 2010. The water level then rose about 12 feet by July 2010, and then fell about 10 feet by September 2010. water level in SC-1 was relatively stable between October 2010 and January 2011. The U.S. Geological Survey discontinued water-level measurements in Wells SC-1 and SC-2 after January 2011. The District resumed water-level measurements in Wells SC-1 and SC-2 in November 2011. The water level fell about two feet from November 2011 through March 2012. The water level then rose about eight feet by late June 2012, and then fell eight feet by the end of September 2012.

Figure 13 is a water-level hydrograph for SC-2, which taps groundwater in the deeper basalt near SC-1. Comparison of the




FIGURE 13 - WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR SC-2

hydrographs for SC-1 and SC-2 indicates that water levels in the two wells fluctuate similarly. However, the water-level rises are less in the deeper monitor well than in the shallower monitor well, as would be expected if the rises are mainly due to recharge, the source of which is from the land surface. The water level in SC-2 was about 156 feet deep in June 2004, or about the same as in June The water level in SC-2 generally rose during 1995-98, was relatively stable during 1999-2000, and fell about 29 feet from June 2000-March 2005. The water level in this well rose about seven feet between March and July of 2005, then fell about four feet by November 2005. The water level rose about 18 feet by July 2007. water level in this well fell about 12 feet during October 2007-May The water level then rose two feet by June 2009 and then fell 2009. seven feet by March 2010. The water level then rose about four feet by July 2010, and then fell about four feet by September 2010. water level in SC-2 was relatively stable during October 2010-January 2011, after which measurements were temporarily discontinued. Measurements were restarted in November 2011 and the water level had a net rise of four feet between January 2011 and April 2012, and then rose eight feet by July 2012. The water level then fell about three feet by the end of September 2012. Water-level variations in SC-1 and SC-2 are indicated to be due to climatic variations and possibly other factors not due to District well pumpage. This conclusion is primarily based on the water-level hydrographs for the

easterly District wells and water-level elevation data (Figures 2 and 18).

Shallow Monitor Wells

A water-level hydrograph for Well No. 22 is provided in Figure Pumpage of nearby Well No. 15 is also plotted on this figure. The water level in Well No. 22 is not related to pumpage of Well No. 15, which taps groundwater in the deeper consolidated rock. water level in Well No. 22 responds primarily to recharge from Mammoth Creek streamflow (Figure 15). Well No. 22 was dry until June 17, 1993 and during 1994-early 1995. The shallowest water level of record in Well No. 22 (71 feet) was in August 1995. Depth to water in this well rose about 12 feet during May-July, 1995, due to recharge corresponding to high flows (exceeding 40 cfs) in Mammoth Creek. During 1996-2007, the water-level trends in Well No. 22 also followed the pattern of streamflow in Mammoth Creek. Well No. 22 was dry during early 2004 and late 2004 and early 2005. associated with low streamflow during or prior to those periods. During July-November, 2006, the water level in Well No. 22 was the shallowest since 1997. Well No. 22 was dry in August 2007 and from January 2008 to June 2010. The temporary water-level rise in October 2007 was due to the District adding water to the well on September 30, 2007 in an attempt to redevelop it prior to a subsequent pump test. Water levels in Well No. 22 were frequently meas-




FIGURE 14-WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 22 AND PUMPAGE FOR WELL NO. 15




FIGURE 15-WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 22 AND MAMMOTH CREEK STREAMFLOW

ured during a two-week pump test on Well No. 15 during October 24-November 7, 2007. Measurements indicated no influence of pumping Well No. 15 on water levels in Well No. 22 (KDSA, 2008). The water level rose almost five feet by June 2010, then fell about four feet by September 2010. The water level rose three feet during October-November, 2010, then fell about four feet during November 2010-February 2011. The well was dry through May 2011. The water level then rose at least 11 feet through June 2011. The water level fell about two feet in August 2011, then rose two feet by October 2011. The water level then fell eight feet through February 2012, then rose more than five feet through June 2012. The water level then fell about five feet by the end of September 2012.

Water-level hydrographs based primarily on manual measurements for Well No. 23 and pumpage for nearby Well No. 1 are shown in Figure 16. Depth to water in Well No. 23 has ranged from about 5 to 18 feet during the period of record. The shallowest water levels were in 1993, 1995, 2005, 2006, 2010, and 2011. Depth to water in this well is not influenced by pumpage of Well No. 1, which taps groundwater in the deeper consolidated rock. Well No. 23 is located relatively close to Mammoth Creek and is clearly influenced by recharge from streamflow (Figure 17), and possibly from other local sources of recharge. On August 1, 1996, a float-type continuous water-level recorder was installed in Well No. 23. Some problems




FIGURE 16-WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 23 AND PUMPAGE FOR WELL NO. 1




FIGURE 17-WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 23 AND MAMMOTH CREEK STREAMFLOW

were experienced with this recorder, but reliable measurements were obtained during most of 1997-2005. Transducer measurements are not available for the 2006 water year. Reliable transducer records for Well No. 23 are available from May 2007-March 26,2008. The transducer was non-operational from March 26-May 13, 2008. Reliable transducer records are available for the rest of the 2008 water year, and for the 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012 water years.

Water-level hydrographs for the remaining shallow monitor wells are provided in Appendix D. Well No. 4M is located in the meadow area east of District Wells No. 6 and 10. The water level in Well No. 4M rose significantly between early 1995 and early 1998, due to significant surface water flow in the meadow. In May 1998, the water levels in this well were the shallowest since 1988. The annual shallowest water level in this well fell about 20 feet between 1998 and 2004. In 2004, depth to water in this well was about the same as in 1989. However, in 2005, the shallowest annual water level was 24 feet deep, shallower than in 2004, and near the shallowest level in 2001. During May-June 2006, the water level was about 14 feet deep, the shallowest of record. After June 2007, the water level in Well No. 4M fell to a depth of about 40 feet by March The water level rose five feet during March-May 2008, then fell three feet by the end of September 2008. The water level rose five feet through July 2009, then fell about four feet by January The water level then rose about eight and a half feet by June 2010.

2010. The water level then fell about five and a half feet by November 2010, then rose 19 feet through May 2011. The water level then fell about 16 feet through February 2012, then rose about seven feet by June 2012. The water level then fell about four feet by the end of September 2012. Depth to water fluctuations in this well have followed patterns of Bodle Ditch flows, rising during periods when flows are present in the ditch, and falling when there are no flows.

Well No. 5M is located about one-half mile east of Well No. 1. Well No. 5M taps the shallow volcanic rock, and no water was observed in the overlying glacial till at the time of drilling of this well. Depth to water in Well No. 5M has ranged from about 2.5 to The shallowest levels have been in the spring and early 9.5 feet. summer, and the deepest in the summer. The annual shallowest water level in this well fell about four feet between 1998 and 2004, due to decreased recharge. The annual shallowest water level rose about four feet in 2005, then fell about half a foot in 2006. By July 2007, the water level in this well was at the land surface. water level then fell to about four feet deep by September 2007. The water level rose four feet during October 2007-May 2008, then fell four feet during May-September 2008. The water level rose five feet through May 2009, then fell four and a half feet through the end of September 2009. The water level rose gradually through March 2010 then rose about five and a half feet by May 2010.

level then fell about 2.7 feet by September 2010, and rose about five feet during October 2010-May 2011. The water level then fell about five feet through the end of September 2011. The water level rose two feet through May 2012, then fell about two feet through the end of September 2012. The water-level changes in this well have been due to the presence or absence of recharge. Reliable transducer measurements for this well are available since October 2008.

Well No. 10M was dry from October 1992 through June 10, 1993. Some water appeared in this well during June 17-August 19, 1993, and during June 6-June 20, 1996. The well was otherwise dry from late 1992 through December 4, 1996. During 1998-mid 2001, there was water in Well No. 10M most of the time. This well is adjacent to District Well No. 10, and the water level in Well No. 10M is primarily influenced by pumping of this well and also by local recharge. The influence of pumping of nearby Well No. 10 was demonstrated by an aquifer test when the well was newly developed. This influence on shallow groundwater is in contrast to that observed near District Well No. 15, where no such influence has been demonstrated. No. 10M was dry from July 2001 to Spring 2006, due to increased pumping from Well No. 10 during 2001-05. The water level in Well No. 10M then rose to the shallowest level of record (about 10 feet) by May 2006. After May 2006, the water level in this well fell, and the well became dry by June 2007. The well was dry during June

2007-January 2011. The water level rose at least 18 feet through May 2011 then fell 13 feet through July 2011. The water level then rose five feet through September 2011. The water level then fell about seven feet and the well became dry from January to April 2012. The water level rose about nine feet through June 2012, then fell until the well became dry through the end of September 2012.

Well No. 11M is located in the southwest part of the meadow area near the Bodle Ditch. Water levels in this well have seasonal fluctuations that correspond to flows in the ditch. The shallowest water levels have generally been in June-July. Water levels gradually declined during 1989-92, but rose significantly after 1992. The water level began to rise significantly in April 1996, and the shallowest level yet measured (about four feet deep) was in June The shallowest annual water level for Well No. 11M fell about nine feet between 1998 and 2001, due to decreased recharge. However, the shallowest water level in this well in 2002 was higher than in 2001, and near the shallowest level in 2000. The shallowest water level in Well 11M was about two and a half feet higher in 2004 than in 2003. The shallowest water level in this well was relatively constant from 2002-04. In 2005 and 2006, the shallowest water levels were about five feet deep, near the shallowest of record. After June 2006, the water level in Well No. 11M fell to a depth of 28 feet in September 2007. The water level fell one foot during September 2007-March 2008, then rose 13 feet during MarchJuly, 2008. The water level then fell six feet during July-September, 2008. The water level gradually rose eight feet through June 2009, then rose ten feet by July 2009. The water level then fell 14 feet through November 2009. The water level then rose about 17 feet through June 2010. The water level then fell about 12 feet by September 2010. The water level in this well then rose 10 feet through June 2011, then fell about 16 feet through April 2012. The water level then rose about nine feet through June 2012, then fell more than 13 feet through the end of September 2012. A transducer was installed in this well for the first time in May 9, 2012 and reliable transducer records are available through the end of the 2012 water year. Long-term water-level fluctuations in Well No. 11M are related to wet and dry cycles and the associated recharge.

Well No. 12M is located in the western part of the meadow area. The water level in this well has responded significantly to a number of recharge events. The water level in this well began to rise significantly in April 1996, and reached the shallowest level of record (4.5 feet) in June 1996. The shallowest water level in Well No. 12M fell about nine feet between 1998 and 2004. However, the water level in this well rose about seven feet in 2005, and rose another foot in 2007. After June 2006, the water level in this well fell, and by August 2007 the well was dry. The water level in this well rose after December 2007, and by June 2008 had risen about seven feet. The water level rose about one foot by mid-August 2008. In

late August, the water level fell about 1.5 feet, then remained stable in September 2008. The well was dry from September through June 2009. The water level then rose 21 feet through July 2009, then fell about 16 feet through November 2009. The water level then rose about 17 feet by June 2010. The water level then fell about 12 feet through February 2011. The water level in Well No. 12M then rose 12 feet through June 2011, and then fell about 11 feet through May 2012. The water level then rose nine feet through June 2012, then fell eight feet by the end of September 2012. The long-term water-level trends for this well are due to recharge.

Water-level hydrographs for Wells No. 27, 28, and 29 are provided in Appendix D. Well No. 27 is located east of Well No. 20.

Depth to water in Well No. 27 has ranged from about 32 to 60 feet.

The water level has risen in the spring and fallen during the summer and fall. The water level was about 48 to 50 feet deep from September 2009 to April 2010, then rose to 32 feet in June 2010. The water level fell about four feet during October-December, 2010, then rose about 21 feet to the shallowest level of record (28 feet) by May 2011. The water level then fell about 20 feet through March 2012, then rose about seven feet through May 2012. The water level then fell about nine feet through the end of September 2012. Overall, the water level in this well has been stable or slightly risen. Recharge appears to be the primary influence on water levels in this well. A transducer was installed in Well No. 27 in February 2011,

and reliable measurements are available since that time. Well No. 28 is located south of the Old Mammoth Road stream gage and is equipped with a transducer. Depth to water in Well No. 28 has ranged from about 24 to 81 feet. The shallowest level of record was in July 2006. The water level in this well fell between August 2006 and March 2009. The water level then rose about four feet by August The water level then fell about seven feet to the lowest level of record (85 feet) by April 2010. After May 2010, the water level rose about 20 feet by September 2010. The water level in this well fell seven feet between October 2010 and April 2011. The water level in Well No. 28 rose 45 feet during April-June, 2011 to a depth of 27 feet, then fell 30 feet by the end of September 2012. water-level changes in this well are due to the presence or absence of recharge. Reliable transducer records are available from February 2007 to October 2010, February-September, 2011, and for the 2012 water year. Depth to water in Well No. 29 has ranged from 63 feet to 98 feet. The shallowest level of record was in September 2006. The water level in this well rose about 11 feet during June-October, 2007, then fell about 35 feet through March 2010, to the lowest level of record (98 feet). The water level then rose about six feet through September 2010. The water level in Well No. 29 fell two feet during October 2010-February 2011, then rose 23 feet through September 2011. The water level then fell about 11 feet through

March 2012, then rose about seven feet through May 2012. The water level then fell five feet by the end of September 2012. The water-level changes in this well are due to the presence or absence of recharge. In summary, the water levels in most of the shallow monitor wells generally have risen during wet periods and fallen during dry periods. This is due to varying amounts of recharge during these periods.

Water-Level Elevation Contours

Figure 18 shows water-level elevation contours for mid-September 2012. The hydrologic boundary is shown north of Wells No. 1 and 5A and south of Wells No. 16 and 25. This boundary is believed to be present only west of a line connecting Wells No. 14M and 21. A cone of depression was evident due to pumping of District Wells No. 1, 6, 10, 15, 17, and 20. This cone of depression did not extend east of Well No. 19. The overall direction of groundwater flow in mid-September 2012 was similar to that shown in the previous annual reports. This map shows only the horizontal component of groundwater flow in the basalt and interbedded glacial till. Other evidence (i.e., water levels in SC-1 and SC-2) indicates that there is also significant downward flow of groundwater in most of the area.




FIGURE 18 - WATER-LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SEPTEMBER 2012

CHEMICAL QUALITY AND TEMPERATURE OF GROUNDWATER

The results of chemical analyses and temperatures of water for the supply wells during the 2012 water year are provided in Appendix Water samples have generally been collected monthly from the active supply wells since November 2006. The monitor wells were not sampled during the 2007-12 water years. Transducers are installed in a number of the deep monitor wells to continuously measure water levels. Because of these transducers, it was not feasible to collect water samples from these wells during 2007-12 water years. The coldest water (55°F or less) has normally been from shallow monitor wells in the meadow area and in water from the supply wells tapping consolidated rock, south of the hydrologic boundary. In contrast, the warmest water (60°F or greater) has been from the wells tapping consolidated rock north of the hydrologic boundary, closer to the known area of relatively shallow geothermal water in Mammoth Lakes, and from Well No. 18 (south of this boundary). lowest electrical conductivity values (less than 200 micromhos per centimeter at 25°C) have normally been for shallow monitor wells and Wells No. 7 and 11. The highest values (greater than 430 micromhos) have been for wells tapping the consolidated rock in the western part of the area.

Records for water from Well No. 20 have indicated some temporary increases for temperature and electrical conductivity during

1996-2011. Water from Wells No. 16, 17, 18, and 20 showed an overall decrease in pH during 1996-2009. These are the westernmost District supply wells. This trend was no longer evident in 2010-12. In 2007-11, the pH values in water from these wells were usually about 6.1 to 6.7. Low pH groundwater is known to be present beneath parts of Mammoth Mountain.

MAMMOTH CREEK STREAMFLOW

Records of streamflow at the outlet from Twin Lakes and the Old Mammoth Road crossing during the 2012 water year are provided in Appendix F. The mean monthly flow at the Old Mammoth Road crossing ranged from 5.8 cfs in September 2012 to 34 cfs in May 2012.

Average daily flows for the upstream (Twin Lakes) and downstream (Old Mammoth Road) stations during the 2012 water year are
plotted in Appendix F. A comparison of these daily flows indicates
that the streamflow at the Old Mammoth Road crossing generally
equaled or exceeded that of the Twin Lakes outflow, except during
late July, August, and September 2012. During late July, these
differences appear to be within the error of measurements. During
August and the first week of September the downstream decrease in
streamflow generally increased, from about 1.6 cfs to 4.1 cfs.
During September, the flow difference generally decreased from about

4.1 to 1.8 cfs. More information on the possible effect of District pumping can be obtained by evaluating daily records. Figure 19 shows daily differences in streamflow and daily total District pumpage. This figure clearly shows that overall, District pumpage decreased during this period, whereas the difference in streamflow increased in August, peaked on September 1, and then decreased. Thus the decreases in streamflow during August and September don't correlate with District pumpage. During October 24-November 7, 2007, a comprehensive aquifer test was conducted by the District, using Well No. 15 as the pumped well. As part of the test, pumpage of Well No. 15, streamflow at Old Mammoth Road, and water levels in a number of wells were measured. The results indicated no influence of pumping Well No. 15 on streamflow in Mammoth Creek (KDSA, 2008). The results of monitoring changes in streamflow and District pumpage have shown no effect of this pumpage on streamflow.

VALENTINE RESERVE SPRINGFLOW

Commencing in 2001, flow measurements at the Valentine Reserve were extended to another spring, which has a considerably larger flow than the previously monitored spring. Longer records are available for the previously monitored spring. However, no spring-flow records have been provided since 2001. Figure 20 shows flow




FIGURE 19 - RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MAMMOTH CREEK STREAMFLOW DIFFERENCE AND DISTRICT PUMPAGE (AUGUST-SEPTEMBER 2012)




FIGURE 20 - FLOW FOR VALENTINE SPRING (1993-2001) AND MAMMOTH CREEK STREAMFLOW (1993-2012)

of the previously monitored spring (1993-2001) and Mammoth Creek streamflow at Old Mammoth Road (1993-2012). The springflow correlated well with Mammoth Creek streamflow during the period of record. The lowest springflows were in 1993, 1994, and 2001, following periods of low winter precipitation. Springflow often increased in the fall prior to winter precipitation. This was primarily due to lower air temperatures and decreased evapotranspiration of shallow groundwater. Monitoring results for the previous years indicate no noticeable impact of District pumping on springflow at the Valentine Reserve.

DATA EVALUATION AND INTERPRETATION

Water-level hydrographs for most of the monitor wells tapping the uppermost glacial till strata in and near the District well field indicated relatively stable water levels during the 2012 water year. Water-level hydrographs for most of the District supply wells indicated water-level declines or stable water levels during the 2012 water year. These declines were primarily associated with increased pumping compared to the previous several years. Water levels in most wells tapping consolidated rocks in the area east of the District well field were relatively stable during the 2012 water year.

The water-level elevation contour map for September 2012 confirms that the cone of depression due to pumping of District wells is localized, and did not extend east to Well No. 24. Because the water levels in the consolidated rock in the well field are well below the channel of Mammoth Creek, there has been no apparent impact of District pumping on streamflow. There has been no impact on flow of the springs at the Valentine Reserve (for periods when records are available), or on the flow of the Hot Creek headsprings due to pumping of the District supply wells.

REFERENCES

Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Results of Summer 1993 Aquifer Test, Mammoth County Water District Well No. 15", November 9, 1993, 22 p.

Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth County Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 1992-September 1993", December 13, 1993, 30 p.

Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 1993-September 1994", December 14, 1994, 34 p.

Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 1994-September 1995", December 11, 1995, 41 p.

Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 1995-September 1996", December 12, 1996, 43 p.

Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 1996-September 1997", December 8, 1997, 45 p.


Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 1997-September 1998", December 9, 1998, 43 p.

Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for

- October 1998-September 1999", December 9, 1999, 45 p.
- Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 1999-September 2000", December 13, 2000, 47 p.
- Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 2000-September 2001", December 11, 2001, 46 p.
- Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 2001-September 2002", December 12, 2002, 50 p.
- Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 2002-September 2003", December 11, 2003, 46 p.
- Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 2003-September 2004", December 10, 2004, 47 p.
- Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 2004-September 2005", December 14, 2005, 47 p.
- Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 2005-September 2006", December 13, 2006, 50 p.
- Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 2006-September 2007", December 13, 2007, 51 p.
- Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Results of 14-Day Pump Test on Mammoth CWD Well No. 15", prepared for Mammoth Community Water District, Mammoth Lakes, California, April 2008, 23 p.
- Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 2007-September 2008", December 15, 2008, 58 p.
- Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 2008-September 2009", December 14, 2009, 59 p.

Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 2009-September 2010", December 14, 2010, 63 p.

Kenneth D. Schmidt and Associates, "Annual Report on Results of Mammoth Community Water District Groundwater Monitoring Program for October 2010-September 2011", December 13, 2011, 66 p.



APPENDIX A

PUMPAGE AND WATER-LEVEL DATA FOR DISTRICT SUPPLY WELLS

TOTAL MONTHLY AND ANNUAL PUMPAGE FROM DISTRICT SUPPLY WELLS (MG)

Water Year	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total MG	Total ACFT
1983-1984	0.00	0.03	1.99	2.14	0.01	3.46	0.01	3.43	7.19	17.97	1.59	6.32	44.11	135.31
1984-1985	0.00	0.74	8.20	7.11	1.82	6.38	4.36	11.57	8.45	12.75	20.10	12.40	93.87	287.94
1985-1986	5.82	4.30	7.02	4.63	3.67	3.22	0.87	5.75	9.72	13.71	21.64	3.31	83.65	256.60
1986-1987	0.00	9.26	10.35	12.95	60.6	12.89	13.49	14.35	22.18	25.60	22.79	18.53	171.48	526.01
1987-1988	7.39	8.92	15.23	15.32	17.67	18.97	7.78	12.98	18.95	15.85	16.73	17.53	173.32	531.66
1988-1989	9.43	19.20	23.44	28.78	23.16	27.01	2.03	2.98	7.77	62.73	55.23	45.08	306.83	941.19
1989-1990	15.12	18.66	23.64	16.63	18.18	12.33	23.57	13.99	38.57	45.93	58.80	62.66	348.07	1067.70
1990-1991	31.26	23.09	27.27	55.85	43.16	0.00	32.07	30.84	15.31	39.06	58.66	57.97	414.54	1271.59
1991-1992	32.15	53.14	26.23	26.06	39.35	36.29	48.05	24.80	52.15	98.96	134.69	100.77	670.52	2056.82
1992-1993	85.02	51.46	81.72	62.40	75.59	37.44	42.58	28.97	73.03	78.32	77.67	59.25	753.44	2311.17
1993-1994	14.95	0.48	7.73	24.76	9.84	26.92	21.09	29.36	40.53	79.60	103.25	64.57	423.07	1297.77
1994-1995	16.59	11.26	32.43	37.27	32.11	35.27	32.79	19.97	18.98	45.75	77.74	43.02	403.17	1236.71
1995-1996	6.01	4.02	16.36	12.16	12.80	11.15	4.61	10.57	38.20	58.80	74.64	52.67	301.99	926.35
1996-1997	27.29	7.57	19.26	14.69	12.66	12.04	11.41	17.65	28.78	80.99	78.57	46.42	342.40	1050.31
1997-1998	13.72	4.77	13.56	8.74	8.25	9.91	6.47	2.00	22.27	75.80	80.22	38.06	283.78	870.49
1998-1999	14.58	5.69	12.75	12.36	5.53	5.59	2.67	18.73	56.93	80.67	74.96	50.60	344.05	1055.37
1999-2000	24.72	3.80	12.25	15.85	7.56	9.33	5.37	32.53	72.28	101.80	91.34	55.90	432.74	1327.42
2000-2001	8.99	3.69	15.36	16.91	25.45	19.52	48.09	50.41	82.94	88.02	158.63	135.89	653.90	2005.83
2001-2002	78.82	42.42	38.59	48.01	55.96	77.66	58.41	44.16	96.84	106.65	134.88	111.35	893.74	2741.55
2002-2003	71.15	41.84	38.96	51.01	56.78	62.34	48.38	45.89	122.49	114.56	125.63	91.19	870.22	2669.37
2003-2004	63.26	10.10	27.05	36.09	33.08	20.98	5.63	45.38	63.56	93.19	117.67	93.15	609.14	1868.51
2004-2005	45.71	15.22	57.26	57.42	56.22	74.21	63.50	09.09	73.84	112.16	97.98	58.76	772.87	2370.75
2005-2006	10.69	6.61	15.81	10.80	23.47	32.80	14.69	19.52	48.24	60.61	67.68	54.78	365.68	1121.72
2006-2007	12.73	1.96	0:30	1.47	5.16	17.36	8.58	59.05	116.66	173.63	155.84	122.96	675.65	2072.55
2007-2008	46.40	31.47	52.03	59.72	50.50	54.28	39.30	47.34	65.41	76.24	132.64	125.00	780.33	2393.66
2008-2009	57.72	5.29	42.39	45.27	15.75	52.59	35.18	20.82	26.48	71.10	90.82	98.79	562.18	1724.49
2009-2010	25.23	17.68	29.67	21.95	20.10	16.45	46.32	33.97	29.98	67.32	56.91	47.67	393.25	1206.29
2010-2011	5.10	3.52	7.70	5.65	1.71	2.90	2.01	1.51	16.42	48.60	35.27	11.84	142.23	436.27
2011-2012	6.44	0.85	3.30	0.78	1.61	6.33	14.38	24.35	46.48	132.18	141.42	120.93	499.05	1530.83
Mean	25.39	14.04	22.34	24.58	22.97	24.33	22.30	25.29	45.54	71.09	81.52	62.32	441.70	1354.91
Maximum	85.02	53.14	81.72	62.40	75.59	27.66	63.50	09.09	122.49	173.63	158.63	135.89	893.74	2741.55
Minimum	0.00	0.03	0:30	0.78	0.01	0.00	. 0.01	1.51	7.19	12.75	1.59	3.31	44.11	135.31
Ave MGD	0.82	0.47	0.72	0.79	0.82	0.78	0.74	0.82	1.52	2.29	2.63	2.08		

DAILY PUMPAGE FROM DISTRICT SUPPLY WELL NO. 1 (MG) (2011-12 WATER YEAR)

MG Totals						Pumpage (MGD)	(MGD)					
	2011			2012								
Dav/Month	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.17	0.00	0.31	0.21	0.54	0.32
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.20	0.53	0.38
3	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.12	0.00	0.52	0.22	0.53	0.31
1 4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.25	0.00	0.49	0.35	0.52	0.13
· 10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.39	0.26	0.00	0.23	0.21	0.51	0.16
. 9	0:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.51	0.18
<u> </u>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.38	0.00	0.18	0.21	0.52	0.22
. 00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.49	0.22
) o	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.32	0.29	0.55	0.23
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.13	0.45	0.34	0.32	0.32	0.45	0.17
7 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.13	0.37	0.00	0.28	0.31	0.50	0.16
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.13	0.37	0.00	0.57	0.54	0.48	0.18
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.16	0.36	0.00	0.57	0.63	0.50	0.19
14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.22	0.34	0.00	0.55	0.64	0.46	0.46
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.24	0.00	0.56	0.64	0.50	0:30
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.25	0.31	0.00	0.72	09.0	0.48	0.32
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.15	0.21	0.19	0.72	09.0	0.50	0.31
18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.45	0.27	0.37	09.0	0.45	0.34
19	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.21	0.50	0.00	0.55	0.57	0.49	0.25
20	00.00	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.13	0.31	0.59	0.00	0.53	0.58	0.21	0.44
21	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.21	0.39	0.55	09.0	0.13	0.21
22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.19	0.53	0.37	0.17	0.13
23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.54	0.37	0.22	0.12
24	0.05	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.18	0.72	0.38	0:30	0.19
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.51	0.59	0.38	0.00
26	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.57	0.32	0.09
27	00.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.59	0.56	0.21	0.00
28	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.44	0.55	0.32	0.11
29	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.04	0.30	0.00	0.56	0.24	0.11
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.24	0.20	0.54	0.26	0.00
31	0.00		0.16	0.00		0.22		0.22		0.54	0.19	
Grand Total	90.0	0.31	1.25	0.35	1.33	4.07	7.45	2.81	12.69	13.75	12.46	6.24

DAILY PUMPAGE FROM DISTRICT SUPPLY WELL NO. 6 (MG) (2011-12 WATER YEAR)

						(USM) energing	o (MGD)					
MG lotals	2011			2012		5	(2000)					
Day/Month	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
1	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	06.0	1.06	0.42
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.67	96.0	0.13
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.86	96.0	0.77
4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.80	1.09	0.99
·	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.02	0.93	0.61
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	90.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	96.0	0.93	0.80
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	90.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.80	0.93	0.80
. «	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.93	96.0	0.83
6	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	66.0	0.83	0.86
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.03	0.00	0.80	0.86	0.83
11	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.00	0.83	0.99	0.93
12	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.42	0.00	0.00	0.67	0.80	0.83
1 7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.48	0.00	0.00	06.0	0.77	0.77
14	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.93	0.40	0.99
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.80	0.40	1.06
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.00	00.00	0.54	0.64	1.06
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.10	0.00	0.80	0.70	1.06
	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.99	0.32	1.02
10	00.00	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.38	0.00	0.00	08.0	0.77	0.99
20	00.00	0.00	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.93	0.77	0.80
21	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.48	1.02
22	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.83	0.29	0.99
73	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.99	0.45	0.99
24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.77	0.38	0.74
25	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.77	1.02	0.96
25 26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.22	0.77	1.12	0.99
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35	1.02	1.06	96.0
28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.32	1.02	0.74	0.99
29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.67	0.99	0.86	0.99
30	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.38	0.00	0.74	1.06	0.67	96.0
31	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00		1.06	0.86	
Grand Total	0.32	0.18	1.26	0.19	0.10	1.28	3.84	0.13	2.30	26.75	24.00	26.14

DAILY PUMPAGE FROM DISTRICT SUPPLY WELL NO. 10 (MG) (2011-12 WATER YEAR)

							(000)					
MG Totals						Pumpag	Pumpage (MGD)					
,	2011			2012								
Day/Month	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
1	0.77	0.00	0.05	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.44	1.28	1.25
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.38	1.28	1.22
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.41	1.22	1.18
4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.25	1.21
. 10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	00.0	0.00	1.38	1.28	1.19
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.06	0.00	00.00	0.00	1.44	1.18	1.18
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	90.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.38	1.25	1.18
. 00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.38	1.25	1.18
ı 61	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	90.0	0.00	0.00	1.38	1.28	1.22
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	1.41	1.22	1.18
3 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.18	1.12
12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.00	1.28	1.28	1.22
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	90.0	0.26	0.00	0.00	1.41	1.22	06.0
14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	90.0	0.00	0.00	1.31	1.18	0.00
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.34	1.12	0.00
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	1.38	1.25	0.00
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	90.0	0.00	1.34	1.18	0.00
18	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.28	1.25	0.38
19	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.10	90.0	00.0	0.00	1.50	1.22	1.18
20	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	1.15	1.06
21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.34	1.25	1.18
22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.34	1.02	1.22
23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.85	1.18	1.15
24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	1.68	1.18	06.0
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:0	0.48	0.00	0.10	1.25	1.15	1.18
26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	1.31	1.09	1.15
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	1.41	1.28	1.12	1.22
28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.31	1.25	1.22	1.12
29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	1.28	1.18	1.18	1.22
30	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00		0.00	90.0	0.00	1.38	1.22	1.22	1.15
31	00.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00		1.28	1.18	
Grand Total	0.77	0.14	69.0	0.03	0.13	0.70	1.54	0.10	6.11	39.90	37.31	29.34

DAILY PUMPAGE FROM DISTRICT SUPPLY WELL NO. 15 (MG) (2011-12 WATER YEAR)

MG Totals						Pumpag	Pumpage (MGD)					
	2011			2012								
Day/Month	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
	0.90	0.00	90.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.77	0.77
. ^	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.77	0.64
ı (r	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.77	06.0
. 4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.90	1.02
+ 1.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.90	0.77
n (c	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.80	0.90	0.77
1 0	000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.87	0.90	0.00
× α	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.77	06.0
) б	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	1.02	06.0
, -	00.0	0000	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.77	06.0
1 1	000	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.90	06.0
17	000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.77	0.77
13	00.0	000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.77	06.0
17	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.77	0.64	06.0
15	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.51	0.51	0.64
16	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.77	0.51
17	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.90	1.02
1,	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.77	0.77
70	00.0	000	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.90	0.64
67	00.0	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	06.0	0.77	0.51
27	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.64	06.0	0.77
2.2	00.0	000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.51	0.64
23	00.0	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.64	0.64
2.7	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.77	0.51
7.5	38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.51	0.64
25 7.6	00 0	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.38	0.77
22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.64	0.51
28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.77	0.77	0.51
50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.90	0.90	0.77
30	0.00	0.06	0.00	00:00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.77	0.77	0.64
31	0.00		0.00	00:00		0.00		0.00		06.0	0.77	
Grand Total	1.78	0.19	0.06	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.00	1.54	23.04	23.68	22.40
	2											

DAILY PUMPAGE FROM DISTRICT SUPPLY WELL NO. 16 (MG) (2011-12 WATER YEAR)

Oct	NAG Totale						Pumpag	Pumpage (MGD)					
DesyMoratify Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr Majo 0.00 0		2011			2012								
100	Dav/Month	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
0.00 0.00 <td< td=""><td>1</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.35</td><td>0.42</td></td<>	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.42
0.00 0.00 <td< td=""><td>2</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>00.0</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.35</td><td>0.45</td></td<>	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.45
0.00 0.00 <td< td=""><td>ı m</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.42</td><td>0.45</td></td<>	ı m	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.45
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	4	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.32
000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	+ L	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.35
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	י ע	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.22
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0 1	0000	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.22
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	. α	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.19
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	οσ	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.22
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.29
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	- F	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.32
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	17	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.35
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	13	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.22
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	14	00 0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.32
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	1 1	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.38
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	1 1	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.38
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	17		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.38	0.26
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	18	000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.45	0.29
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	7 7	000		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.26
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	5	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.26
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	25	000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.26
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	22		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.19
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	23	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.22
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	2.7	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.22
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	7.2	000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.22
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	25	0000	000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.29	0.29
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	20	00:0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.38	0.16
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	2,7	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.26	0.19
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	29	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.22
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00) <u>E</u>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.16
	31	00.0		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.16	0.26	
0.00 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.00	Grand Total	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.22	10.78	8.32

DAILY PUMPAGE FROM DISTRICT SUPPLY WELL NO. 17 (MG) (2011-12 WATER YEAR)

A CALL						Pumpad	Pumpage (MGD)					
NIG IOTAIS	2011			2012								
Dav/Month	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	lun	Juľ	Aug	Sep
H	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.90	0.38	0.26	0.51	0.64
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.64	0.32	0.51	0.77
	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.58	0.51	0.38	0.58	0.77
4	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.70	0.51	0.38	0.58	0.70
. 10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	90.0	0.83	0.00	0.45	0.51	0.58
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.00	0.32	0.58	0.38
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.00	0.45	0.64	0.45
. ∞	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.77	0.00	0.38	0.58	0.45
) σ	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.13	0.32	0.64	0.45
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.32	0.58	0.70
) [0.00	0.00	0.00	90.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.19	0.45	0.64	0.45
1 2	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.58	0.58	0.58	0.70	0.64
13	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.32	0.38	0.51	0.64	0.58
14	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	90.0	0.00	0.32	0.22	0.58	0.45	0.64
1.5	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.36	0.50	0.64	0.64
16	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.45	0.52	0.51	0.77
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.45	0.51	0.51	0.58
. «	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.45	0.38	0.77	0.51
0 7	0.26	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.26	0.58	0.45
20	0.26	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.38	0.58	0.51
21	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.38	0.64	0.51
22	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.45	0.64	0.70	0.45
23	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.58	0.45	0.45	0.51	0.45
23	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.64	0.38	0.58	0.58
75	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.38	0.45	0.70	0.32
26	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.51	0.38	0.51	0.58
27	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.58	0.45	0.70	0.38
28	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.51	0.45	0.45
29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	90.0	0.72	0.51	0.58	0.51
30	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.32	0.38	0.00	0.58	0.51	0.38
31	0.19		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.32		0.58	0.51	
Grand Total	3.90	0.00	0.00	90.0	0.00	0.19	1.15	12.61	10.45	13.57	18.11	16.26

DAILY PUMPAGE FROM DISTRICT SUPPLY WELL NO. 18 (MG) (2011-12 WATER YEAR)

1 1 1 1 1						Pumpar	Pumpade (MGD)					
INIG IOIGIS	2011			2012								
Day/Month	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	lun	lul	Aug	Sep
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ı m	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
. &	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.00
, 6	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	0.01	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
31	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.18		0.00	0.00	
Grand Total	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	3.31	0.26	0.01	0.01	0.00

DAILY PUMPAGE FROM DISTRICT SUPPLY WELL NO. 20 (MG) (2011-12 WATER YEAR)

MG Totals						Pumpag	Pumpage (MGD)					
	2011			2012								
Day/Month	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Juľ	Aug	Sep
1	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.38	0.54	0.52
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.67	0.39	0.54	0.57
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.35	0.43	0.55	0.57
. 4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.14	00.00	0.35	0.39	0.58	0.47
· 1/	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.24	0.51	0.52	0.47
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.56	0.31
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.49	0.52	0.33
. «	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.38	0.52	0.35
) o	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.49	0.49	0.32
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.35	0.00	0.34	0.51	0.38
1 [0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.45
12	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.49	09.0	0.53	0.44
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.50	0.57	0.46	0.49
14	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00	0.52	0.65	0.39	0.46
. 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.44	0.56	0.50	0.49
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.57	0.36	0.56
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.51	0.49	0.56	0.45
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.27	0.51	0.41	0.58	0.38
10	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.51	0.33	0.48	0.32
20	00.0	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.50	0.40	0.42	0.42
21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.63	0.50	0.52	0.49	0.37
22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.44	0.51	0.63	0.51	0.35
73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.51	0.52	0.45	0.32
24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.23	69.0	0.41	0.39	0.41
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.43	0.55	0.32
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.40	0.40	0.40
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.49	0.55	0.31
28	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.53	0.34	0.34
29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.33	0.50	0.44	0.36
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.50	0.39	09.0	0.40	0.30
31	0.00		0.00	0.00	!	0.00		0.37		0.58	0.41	
Grand Total	0.08	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.39	5.40	13.13	14.93	15.06	12.23

WATER-LEVEL DATA FOR DISTRICT SUPPLY WELLS (FEET) (2011-12 WATER YEAR)

Well No.	1	and the state of t	
Date	Static	Date	Pumping
10/31/11	175.74	10/24/11	201.13
11/30/11	174.67	11/03/11	202.27
12/12/11	173.95	12/29/11	204.41
01/30/12	173.53	01/14/12	201.27
02/11/12	172.81	02/28/12	200.69
03/31/12	173.95	03/20/12	205.98
04/03/12	174.81	04/20/12	212.70
05/17/12	173.09	05/21/12	202.13
06/01/12	175.25	06/25/12	221.84
07/09/12	182.39	07/31/12	233.86
08/31/12	196.83	08/18/12	·
09/30/12	191.25	09/21/12	232.70
Mean	178.19		213.33
Min	172.81		200,69
Max	196.83		241.00
Historical			
Mean	196.65		252.40
Min	149.75		191.33
Max	268.10		303.16

Well No.	6		
Date	Static	Date	Pumping
10/23/11	1.19	10/14/11	81.78
11/01/11	1.38		
12/06/11	1.77	12/02/11	97.39
01/01/12	1.95	01/11/12	93.34
02/01/12	2.53	02/09/12	78.88
03/29/12	2.14	03/13/12	104.91
04/07/12	1.77	04/13/12	100.67
05/07/12	1.77	05/17/12	73.67
06/12/12	1.56	06/30/12	103.17
07/02/12	11.78	07/31/12	139.63
08/15/15	50.34	08/26/12	148.48
09/03/12	55.75	09/30/12	161.41
Mean	11.16		107.58
Min	1.19		73.67
Max	55.75		161.41
Historical			
Mean	45.24		145.54
Min	0.00		9.05
Max	160.00		200.02

Well No.	17		
Date	Static	Date	Pumping
10/12/11	376.89	10/17/11	387.42
11/27/11	376.27		
12/23/11	375.56		
01/10/12	374.67		
02/27/12	375.59		
03/29/12	374.06	3/13/12	386.73
04/10/12	373.97	4/22/12	392.77
05/29/12	374.41	5/31/12	386.67
06/10/12	374.67	6/12/12	383.11
07/02/12	375.39	7/21/12	387.33
08/03/12	376.47	8/20/12	387.33
09/09/12	377.45	9/24/2012	387.83
Mean	375.45		387.40
Min	373.97		383.11
Max	377.45		392.77
Historical			
Mean	376.39		383.61
Min	356.44		369.52
Max	409.90		393.47

Well No.	18		
Date	Static	Date	Pumping
10/27/11	53.84		
11/05/11	53.56		
12/27/11	51.56		
01/01/12	53.84		
02/01/12	54.13		
03/01/12	54.41		
04/05/12	54.69		
05/01/12	54.69	05/16/12	234.89
06/30/12	56.67	06/08/12	240.88
07/01/12	56.97		
08/01/12	63.81		
09/06/12	73.22		
Mean	56.78		
Min	51.56		
Max	73.22		
Historical			
Mean	73.59		230.20
Min	40.00		72.22
Max	171.67		361.28

WATER-LEVEL DATA FOR DISTRICT SUPPLY WELLS (FEET) (2011-12 WATER YEAR)

Well No.	10		
Date	Static	Date	Pumping
10/31/11	13.19	10/12/11	77.25
11/04/11	12.98	11/09/11	91.66
12/01/11	13.81	12/02/11	88.73
01/21/12	15.08		
02/02/12	15.48	02/09/12	86.64
03/06/12	15.70	03/08/12	96.45
04/04/12	15.70	04/25/12	96.03
05/31/12	14.77	05/17/12	86.02
06/13/12	13.41	06/30/12	106.27
07/04/12	31.56	07/31/12	147.17
08/01/12	71.83	08/30/12	166.58
09/18/12	79.77	09/30/12	184.09
Mean	26.11		111.54
Min	12.98		77.25
Max	79.77		184.09
Historical			
Mean	55.48		132.32
Min	0.00		40.92
Max	164.00		234.25

Well No.	15		
Date	Static	Date	Pumping
Tran	Data sduce ot be i be rep	r failed	l and
Mean			
Min			
Max			
Historical			
Mean	232.59		274.44
Min	168.15		183.42
Max	321.35		364.98


Well No.	20		
Date	Static	Date	Pumping
10/28/11	400.91	10/12/11	439.80
11/09/11	401.73		
12/20/11	406.14		
01/11/12	400.42		
02/09/12	400.06		
03/29/12	392.14	03/07/12	433.08
04/04/12	386.09	04/03/12	433.72
05/24/12	382.89	05/22/12	442.25
06/10/12	391.00	06/30/12	471.36
07/26/12	395.98	7/18/2012	518.97
08/10/12	400.47	8/13/2012	523.97
09/28/12	401.14	9/5/2012	526.31
Mean	396.58		
Min	382.89		
Max	406.14		
Historical			
Mean	411.80		489.27
Min	376.20		417.80
Max	470.95		553.44

		Will 1888	
Well No.	16		
Date	Static	Date	Pumping
10/31/11	469.59		
11/30/11	468.47		
12/12/11	467.31		
01/31/12	466.28		
02/27/12	465.41		
03/13/12	465.13		
	yr.co.		
Mean	467.03		
Min	465.13		
Max	469.59		
Historical			
Mean	468.65		487.56
Min	327.00		471.47
Max	514.60		507.22


F			

APPENDIX B


PUMPAGE AND WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPHS FOR EARLIER DISTRICT SUPPLY WELLS



WATER-LEVEL AND PUMPAGE HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 1



WATER-LEVEL AND PUMPAGE HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 6



WATER-LEVEL AND PUMPAGE HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 10

APPENDIX C


WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENTS FOR MONITOR WELLS

WATER-LEVEL DATA FOR DISTRICT MONITOR WELLS (FEET) (2011-12 WATER YEARS)


Date	Well 4M	Well 5A	Well 7	Well 10M	Well 11	Well 11M	Well 12M	Well 19	Well 21	Well 22	Well 29	SC-1	SC-2
10/04/11	20.85	4.04	263.44	20.51	Artesian	14.85	7.45	339.15	225.48	75.45	73.51		
10/13/11	22.63	4.15	270.18	20.57	Artesian	15.23	7.59	338.45	224.95	76.15	73.12		
10/25/11	24.25	5.25	269.87	21.36	Artesian	15.86	8.24	339.47	225.15	76.45	74.45		
11/08/11	25.48	5.55	268.58	23.48	Artesian	16.21	8.51	340.12	226.32	76.58	76.12		
11/22/11	26.87	5.08	266.84	25.36	Artesian	16.48	8.59	340.48	225.74	78.26	76.48	116.22	154.23
12/15/11	27.64	5.19	267.12	26.10	Artesian	16.35	9.48	339.59	226.63	79.15	79.45	117.23	154.12
01/10/12	30.31	6.15	268.59	26.45	Artesian	18.24	10.52	340.56	226.94	80.26	80.64	116.89	153.44
02/07/12	31.47	5.98	263.48	29.50	Artesian	19.45	11.00	341.26	227.15	82.51	85.68	117.56	152.98
03/06/12	30.55	4.23	262.98	27.64	Artesian	19.23	12.57	340.78	228.36	81.23	83.26	117.48	153.58
04/11/12	27.15	3.87	260.35	24.15	Artesian	20.57	15.78	339.56	226.84	79.14	81.23	116.12	153.14
05/09/12	25.65	2.75	255.15	19.05	Artesian	19.82	15.66	339.85	225.85	77.16	76.64	113.33	150.26
06/21/12	24.81	3.80	258.16	17.49	Artesian		7.21	340.00	225.90	77.03	77.70	112.32	148.35
06/28/12	24.82	4.00	258.50	26.82	Artesian	ļ	8.16	340.30	226.10	77.07	77.90	110.14	147.25
07/05/12	24.87	4.10	1	29.41	Artesian	D/	10.72	340.35	226.50	77.22	77.55	109.84	145.11
07/13/12	25.10	4.35	258.97	29.42	Artesian	AΤ	10.80	340.30	226.00	77.51	77.76	110.55	145.26
07/19/12	25.34	4.27	259.25	29.44	Artesian	Ά	15.45	340.49	228.16	77.70	77.93	112.63	145.27
07/24/12	25.59	4.44		29.45	Artesian	Lo	l	340.31	226.10	77.49	78.05	113.54	145.98
08/09/12	26.35	4.90	260.80	29.45	Artesian	og —	ınd r	340.59	226.25	78.79	78.50	115.14	146.56
08/15/12	26.80	4.80	260.11	29.45	Artesian	ge	ete	340.70	226.19	79.21	78.64	115.31	146.59
08/24/12	26.52	4.62	259.45	29.44	Artesian	er —		340.69	225.63	79.75	77.97	115.35	146.55
08/31/12	26.72	4.80	260.83	29.49	Artesian	Pe	inai	339.71	226.35	77.31	78.33	115.62	145.97
09/07/12	27.62	5.73	263.32	29.51	Artesian	eri	ble	340.99	226.91	80.80	79.31	116.34	147.82
09/13/12	28.11	5.51	262.98	30.01	Artesian	od		341.15	226.87	81.31	80.11	116.87	148.22
09/21/12	28.54	5.54	263.41	30.54	Artesian	l		342.64	227.12	81.89	80.99	117.93	147.91
09/27/12	29.12	5.61	263.72	29.62	Artesian)	342.81	227.48	82.15	81.33		
Minimum	20.85	2.75	255.15	17.49	0.00	14.85	7.21	338.45	224.95	75.45	73.12	109.84	145.11
Maximum	31.47	6.15	270.18	30.54	0.00	20.57	15.78	342.81	228.36	82.51	83.26	117.93	154.23
Average*	29.60	3.88	257.34	24.24	9.42	19.41	16.14	339.11	256.44	81.15	81.79		
Minimum*		0.00	233.68	69.6	0.00	4.14	4.25	312.33	224.46	70.79	62.95		
Maximum*	46.95	7.48	290.95	32.48	50.50	39.17	27.00	357.25	365.42	86.22	98.56		

APPENDIX D

SUPPLEMENTARY WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPHS FOR MONITOR WELLS




WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 4M



WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 5, NO. 5A, AND NO. 5M


WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR MW-5M





WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR MW-5M

WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR MW-5M

WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR MW-5M









WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR MW-5M


ķ			




WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 7




WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 10M





WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 11M






June 2012







WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 11





WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 12M





WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR MW-14M





















WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR MW-14M











WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR MW-23M














WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR MW-24M


WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR MW-24M











WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR MW-24M
















ý				





WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 25



WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 26


WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR MW-26M







WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR MW-26M


У				
	,			





WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 27













WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR MW-27M





v			
		-	





WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 28


WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR MW-28M














¥				





WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 29




WATER-LEVEL HYDROGRAPH FOR WELL NO. 30









v				
			•	

APPENDIX E

CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF WATER FROM DISTRICT WELLS

Production Well Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
1	06/06/96	8:20	240.0	168	8.3	7.40	
1	09/12/97	10:15	190.0	96	9.4	7.20	
1	07/06/98	14:30	210.0	120	8.3	7.40	
1	07/14/99	9:20	208.0	165	8.9	7.60	
1	08/22/00	7:45	210.0	156	9.4	7.20	
1	07/27/01	8:30	220.0	140	9.4	6.50	
1	09/05/02	7:50	232.0	116	8.9	6.60	
1	09/25/03	9:15	277.0	182	5.6	7.10	
1	07/20/04	10:30	210.0	160	7.2	7.50	
1	10/11/05	12:45	207.0	135	9.4	7.05	2.43
1	11/06/06	13:04	207.0	120	10.0	7.22	1.49
1	12/04/06	12:45	202.0	117	9.2	7.03	1.50
1	01/09/07		201.6	117	8.3	6.62	1.60
1	02/06/07	9:25	250.1	145	8.3	6.95	1.66
1	03/07/07	10:45	198.0	115	8.4	6.96	0.97
1	04/16/07	13:04	192.2	111	8.3	6.98	0.64
1	05/01/07	10:45	210.2	122	9.2	7.86	0.69
1	06/07/07	9:40	206.2	120	9.2	7.26	0.62
1	07/10/07	10:05	213.3	124	11.6	6.97	0.86
1	08/07/07	11:12	234.0	136	8.6	7.00	3.18
1	09/11/07	10:19	240.7	140	8.6	6.88	3.56
1	10/02/07	11:00	238.6	138	8.5	7.04	4.00
1	11/19/07	13:52	224.0	146	8.3	7.08	5.26
1	12/11/07	15:25	218.6	142	8.2	6.91	3.94
1	01/09/08	11:19	227.4	148	8.2	6.85	5.03
1	02/05/08	9:48	231.0	150	8.4	6.95	6.43
1	03/13/08	9:51	234.8	153	8.5	6.89	3.74
1	04/01/08	8:41	224.4	146	8.4	6.76	3.26
1	05/09/08	10:42	210.2	137	8.2	6.69	3.88
1	06/18/08	13:02	211.4	137	8.1	6.94	3.56
1	07/30/08	11:10	215.1	140	8.2	6.81	3.25
1	08/19/08	10:54	233.0	151	8.4	6.85	3.23
1	09/11/08	11:07	236.5	154	8.5	7.03	4.36
1	10/21/08	14:06	233.1	152	8.6	6.86	4.51
1	11/13/08	9:39	217.7	142	8.4	6.34	5.59
1	12/02/08	12:18	221.2	144	8.4	6.63	5.25
1	01/12/09	14:18	229.6	149	8.3	6.63	4.18
1	02/24/09	11:13	209.7	136	8.3	6.47	3.50
1	03/25/09	11:48	217.8	142	8.4	6.69	3.29
1	04/21/09	8:23	212.4	138	8.3	6.74	8.10
1	05/27/09	14:41	214.2	139	8.2	6.73	3.26
1	06/24/09	13:39	209.3	136	8.2	6.89	8.39
1	07/09/09	10:46	206.8	134	8.2	6.79	8.58
1	08/12/09	11:35	212.9	138	8.1	6.77	8.28

Production Well Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
1	09/22/09	12:55	215.3	140	8.3	6.90	9.63
1	10/21/09	15:17	205.5	134	8.3	6.83	3.81
1	11/17/09	14:30	204.3	133	8.2	6.94	8.32
1	12/22/09	13:35	205.6	134	8.0	6.84	12.81
1	01/29/10	13:22	200.6	130	8.1	6.80	9.43
1	02/26/10	11:24	201.6	131	8.1	6.79	8.98
1	03/16/10	10:32	198.2	129	8.1	6.67	9.59
1	04/15/10	13:28	207.7	135	8.3	7.05	9.02
1	05/12/10	12:51	216.0	140	8.7	6.89	9.82
1	01/19/11	13:26	193.5	126	7.7	6.76	5.22
1	04/14/11	12:46	190.9	124	7.6	6.81	4.15
1	05/11/11	8:44	192.8	125	7.7	6.82	3.85
1	06/16/11	9:41	197.2	128	7.8	6.82	4.83
1	07/13/11	9:50	•			7.24	
1	08/16/11	9:00				6.95	
1	09/13/11	11:25				7.14	
1	10/12/11	11:30				7.12	
1	11/09/11	15:36	197.5	128	7.8	6.90	7.13
1	01/11/12	13:10				6.96	
1	12/20/11	13:33	191.5	125	7.7	6.74	4.45
1	02/09/12	11:26	194.0	126	7.8	6.21	4.76
1	03/21/12	10:00				7.03	
1	04/10/12	13:15				6.89	
1	05/17/12	9:50				7.16	
1	06/19/12	10:30				7.16	
1	07/17/12	12:20				7.09	
1	08/08/12	9:40				7.32	
1	09/05/12	9:40				7.12	

Production Well Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
6	06/06/96	9:05	470.0	283	9.4	7.50	
6	09/12/97	9:25	397.0	198	11.7	7.10	
6	07/07/98	8:20	300.0	160	10.6	8.20	
6	07/14/99	8:45	305.0	172	10.0	7.60	
6	07/28/00	8:15	310.0	166	10.0	7.40	
6	07/26/01	10:00	380.0	230	10.6	7.40	
6	09/05/02	14:30	350.0	190	10.6	7.20	
6	09/25/03	11:00	427.0	287	6.7	7.40	
6	07/20/04	9:45	420.0	290	10.0	7.60	
6	10/11/05	14:20	437.0	284	10.6	7.38	3.07
6	11/06/06	11:07	433.0	251	10.0	7.40	1.54
6	12/04/06	11:17	448.0	260	9.8	7.40	1.27
6	01/09/07		429.1	249	9.3	7.26	0.79
6	02/06/07	1:53	434.1	252	9.4	7.22	1.00
6	03/06/07	13:35	207.3	120	9.7	7.35	1.15
6	04/16/07	9:40	406.9	236	9.5	7.30	0.72
6	05/01/07	9:00	396.1	230	10.4	6.81	0.59
6	06/07/07	1:50	420.1	244	10.1	7.49	0.58
6	07/10/07	14:55	423.8	246	11.4	7.04	1.10
6	08/07/07	11:12	392.4	228	9.0	7.24	0.68
6	09/11/07	9:55	417.3	242	8.8	7.29	1.21
6	10/02/07	14:57	410.4	238	8.9	7.38	0.92
6	11/19/07	10:54	406.6	264	8.5	7.36	0.34
6	12/11/07	14:27	407.5	265	8.6	7.20	0.50
6	06/18/08	10:52	410.4	267	8.6	7.21	1.62
6	07/30/08	10:08	400.0	260	9.3	7.05	0.74
6	08/19/08	9:21	397.7	259	9.0	7.01	1.04
6	09/11/08	10:46	402.4	262	8.8	7.34	1.00
6	10/21/08	10:43	387.1	252	8.3	6.89	0.74
6	11/13/08	11:45	433.9	282	8.3	6.65	2.75
6	12/02/08	11:52	445.5	290	8.3	6.67	1.39
6	01/12/09	12:24	421.0	274	8.6	7.05	7.11
6	02/24/09	12:30	415.7	270	8.6	6.69	0.70
6	03/25/09	11:02	412.2	268	8.6	6.69	0.73
6	04/21/09	9:59	536.1	349	8.6	7.08	1.58
6	05/27/09	14:08	452.0	294	8.7	6.90	1.08
6	06/24/09	10:35	426.9	278	8.9	7.15	5.26
6	07/09/09	10:22	430.5	280	8.9	7.05	5.67
6	08/12/09	11:14	413.1	269	9.0	7.05	5.59
6	09/22/09	11:38	460.8	300	9.1	6.97	7.47
6	10/21/09	13:52	422.7	275	8.9	7.14	7.03
6	11/17/09	13:03	422.3	275	8.7	7.16	6.18
6	12/23/09	14:22	424.8	276	8.7	6.96	7.04
6	01/29/10	10:40	420.2	273	8.7	6.93	6.67

Production Well Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
6	02/26/10	12:45	421.6	274	8.6	7.04	7.27
6	03/16/10	12:10	411.0	267	8.6	6.90	7.34
6	04/15/10	9:24	405.9	264	8.6	7.10	7.45
6	05/12/10	15:00	430.1	280	8.4	6.94	7.65
6	10/13/10	9:22	432.3	281	8.5	7.07	0.33
6	01/19/11	10:34	446.1	290	8.7	7.08	1.07
6	02/07/11	12:34	433.7	282	8.2	6.92	6.33
6	04/14/11	8:53	449.8	292	8.9	7.05	1.36
6	05/11/11	9:35	446.0	290	8.8	7.06	0.31
6	06/16/11	8:51	427.8	278	9.4	7.33	0.67
6	07/26/11	10:00				7.39	
6	08/16/11	10:20				7.17	
6	09/13/11	9:40				7.56	
6	10/12/11	13:10				7.47	:
6	11/09/11	11:14	411.1	267	8.6	6.95	0.58
6	12/20/11	11:13	419.4	273	8.6	7.34	2.37
6	01/11/12	10:05				7.34	
6	02/09/12	15:42	417.1	271	8.6	6.41	0.76
6	03/21/12	11:20				7.49	
6	04/10/12	11:30				7.27	
6	05/17/12	10:55				7.64	
6	06/28/12	9:35				7.47	
6	07/17/12	10:25				7.45	
6	08/08/12	12:50				7.62	
6	09/05/12	10:20				7.48	

Production Well Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
10	06/06/96	9:20	465.0	315	10.0	7.30	
10	09/12/97	9:14	359.0	179	12.8	7.20	
10	06/30/98	13:25	350.0	240	9.4	7.60	
10	07/14/99	8:30	353.0	231	9.4	7.50	
10	07/28/00	8:30	360.0	228	10.0	7.50	
10	07/26/01	10:15	470.0	300	10.6	6.60	
10	09/05/02	8:10	410.0	225	10.6	7.00	
10	09/25/03						
10	07/20/04	10:04	430.0	280	10.0	7.50	
10	10/11/05	15:20	389.0	253	13.9	7.14	2.51
10	11/06/06	9:00	270.0	157	13.3	7.06	
10	12/04/06	10:37	270.0	157	13.2	7.17	1.60
10	01/09/07		539.0	313	11.7	7.23	1.70
10	02/06/07	1:15	267.9	155	13.9	7.81	1.47
10	03/06/07	14:20	303.9	176	11.9	6.96	1.00
10	04/17/07	9:45	272.4	158	11.6	7.18	0.72
10	05/01/07	9:24	258.8	150	13.5	6.97	0.58
10	06/07/07	1:15	319.2	185	13.2	7.26	0.66
10	07/10/07	14:29	354.1	205	13.6	6.55	0.77
10	08/07/07	13:26	351.2	204	13.0	7.04	2.23
10	09/11/07	9:20	370	215	12.7	7.00	0.99
10	10/02/07	13:54	376.2	218	12.5	7.02	0.69
10	11/19/07	10:05	361.5	235	13.8	7.02	0.99
10	12/11/07	13:53	332.6	216	13.0	7.04	1.07
10	01/10/08	10:59	385.0	250	12.4	6.86	1.01
10	02/05/08	14:11	385.8	251	12.2	6.83	0.68
10	03/14/08	9:48	388.9	253	11.9	6.79	1.83
10	04/01/08	9:13	398.9	259	11.8	6.84	0.91
10	05/09/08	9:51	399.6	260	11.9	6.57	0.68
10	07/30/08	9:36	411.5	268	13.5	6.84	1.43
10	08/19/08	10:32	411.1	267	12.9	6.76	0.94
10	09/11/08	10:21	420.8	274	12.8	6.93	0.96
10	10/21/08	11:04	414.7	270	12.7	6.63	1.10
10	11/13/08	11:17	409.4	266	13.3	6.55	1.82
10	12/02/08	11:22	423.2	275	13.4	6.58	1.48
10	03/25/09	13:14	422.6	275	13.5	6.50	1.19
10	04/21/09	9:33	423.7	275	12.8	6.94	1.33
10	05/27/09	12:29	414.2	269	12.7	6.83	4.64
10	06/24/09	9:49	414.9	270	12.3	6.88	5.33
10	07/09/09	9:33	417.8	272	12.0	6.81	5.30
10	08/12/09	9:42	414.2	269	11.8	6.49	5.41
10	09/22/09	14:21	421.4	274	11.5	6.92	6.25
10	10/21/09	11:36	356.9	232	13.1	6.80	5.75 4.76
10	11/17/09	11:44	388.8	253	12.8	6.70	4.76

Production Well Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
10	12/23/09	10:41	414.6	270	12.6	6.81	5.87
10	01/29/10	10:03	416.0	270	12.3	6.80	5.65
10	02/26/10	10:57	407.6	265	12.4	6.80	5.75
10	03/16/10	12:40	407.5	265	12.4	6.89	6.21
10	04/15/10	9:52	401.8	261	12.3	7.01	6.26
10	05/12/10	9:51	411.3	267	12.3	6.78	5.28
10	01/19/11	10:01	393.2	256	12.2	6.77	1.85
10	02/07/11	11:17	389.3	253	12.5	6.87	2.06
10	04/14/11	9:40	378.5	246	12.9	6.93	1.93
10	05/11/11	9:04	336.8	219	12.8	6.88	2.64
10	06/16/11	9:16	314.1	204	12.8	6.80	3.61
10	07/26/11	11:05				7.36	
10	08/18/11	7:55				7.15	
10	09/13/11	10:10				7.29	
10	10/12/11	13:35				7.11	
10	11/09/11	11:55	273.7	178	12.2	6.97	2.71
10	12/20/11	11:30	302.2	197	12.2	7.03	2.98
10	01/11/12	10:45				7.16	
10	02/09/12	16:06	294.9	192	12.6	7.09	2.82
10	03/21/12	10:45				7.16	
10	04/10/12	11:50				7.16	
10	05/17/12	10:35				7.26	
10	06/28/12	9:45				7.22	
10	07/17/12	10:45				7.22	
10	08/08/12	13:10				7.46	
10	09/05/12	10:25				7.42	

Production Well Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
15	06/06/96	9:45	240.0	152	12.8	7.40	
15	09/12/97	9:19	288.0	144	12.8	7.20	
15	06/30/98	13:45	360.0	210	11.7	7.50	
15	07/14/99	9:05	355.0	190	12.8	7.60	
15	08/22/00	8:10	350.0	187	12.2	7.30	
15	07/02/01	10:40	330.0	220	12.8	7.40	
15	09/05/02	8:20	290.0	185	11.7	7.20	
15	09/25/03	10:00	415.0	279	10.0	7.20	
15	07/20/04	9:15	300.0	200	10.0	7.60	
15	10/11/05	13:20	234.0	152	18.3	7.34	2.51
15	11/06/06	10:04	270.0	157	10.6	7.42	1.17
15	12/04/06	9:30	223.0	129	8.9	7.39	1.20
15	01/09/07		222.4	129	9.4	7.38	1.42
15	02/06/07	9:57	216.8	126	8.3	7.71	0.94
15	03/06/07	10:30	214.7	125	9.2	7.17	1.07
15	04/17/07	8:38	219.7	127	8.7	7.31	0.55
15	05/01/07	10:15	219.6	127	9.6	7.69	0.72
15	06/07/07	9:20	300.6	174	11.8	7.69	0.74
15	07/10/07	10:55	331.1	192	13.5	7.22	1.10
15	08/07/07	13:43	338.6	196	12.7	7.20	2.04
15	09/11/07	8:40	364.2	211	13.0	7.25	1.46
15	10/02/07	14:24	365.2	212	12.9	7.29	2.66
15	11/19/07	10:28	327.8	213	11.7	7.35	1.01
15	12/11/07	14:57	330.2	215	11.7	7.33	1.03
15	01/09/08	15:30	336.4	219	12.0	7.13	0.96
15	02/05/08	11:16	343.2	223	12.2	7.26	1.11
15	03/14/08	10:03	356.9	232	12.6	7.18	0.82
15	04/01/08	9:32	364.8	237	12.8	7.33	1.04
15	05/09/08	9:35	332.0	216	12.0	6.77	0.99
15	06/18/08	9:30	351.6	229	12.7	7.10	0.86
15	07/30/08	8:56	354.7	231	12.7	6.99	0.97
15	08/19/08	9:54	357.2	232	13.0	7.07	2.01
15	09/11/08	10:03	378.1	246	13.4	7.09	0.98
15	10/21/08	10:09	356.9	232	13.0	6.83	1.26
15	11/13/08	10:42	244.8	159	9.2	6.67	1.34
15	12/02/08	10:45	238.8	155	8.8	6.80	1.37
15	01/12/09	11:50	347.0	226	12.1	6.94	5.98
15	02/24/09	10:35	310.5	202	11.3	6.79	0.95
15	03/25/09	11:31	345.8	225	12.3	6.96	1.22
15	04/21/09	8:49	308.2	200	11.2	7.26	5.15
15	05/27/09	12:43	237.7	155	8.8	7.14	5.89
15	06/24/09	10:00	237.9	155	8.8	7.14	5.68
15	07/09/09	9:11	266.3	173	9.9	7.08	5.46
15	08/12/09	10:35	298.3	194	11.1	7.16	5.20

Production Well Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
15	09/22/09	13:33	363.5	236	12.7	7.30	6.53
15	10/21/09	10:57	245.0	159	9.2	6.94	6.91
15	11/17/09	13:35	234.1	152	8.6	7.14	6.52
15	12/22/09	11:00	236.5	154	8.6	6.89	7.06
15	01/29/10	9:32	243.6	158	8.7	7.05	6.45
15	02/26/10	10:30	245.7	160	8.8	7.19	8.24
15	03/16/10	10:11	244.6	159	8.9	6.90	8.01
15	04/15/10	10:27	242.9	158	8.9	7.24	7.23
15	05/12/10	10:53	250.3	163	9.0	7.91	6.71
15	01/19/11	10:58	259.6	169	9.2	7.41	0.92
15	02/07/11	13:11	260.8	170	9.3	7.45	0.90
15	04/14/11	10:18	260.4	169	9.4	7.32	1.41
15	05/11/11	10:01	258.3	168	9.3	7.36	0.90
15	06/16/11	10:06	260.5	169	9.6	7.44	1.08
15	07/26/11	10:10				7.48	
15	08/16/11	10:10				7.44	
15	09/13/11	10:35				7.58	
15	10/12/11	12:45				7.73	
15	11/09/11	10:40	246.8	160	9.3	7.42	1.68
15	12/20/11	10:21	247.3	161	9.1	6.82	1.11
15	01/11/12	12:45				7.46	
15	02/09/12	12:14	254.2	165	9.2	7.36	0.88
15	03/21/12	10:15				7.76	
15	04/10/12	11:15				7.63	
15	07/17/12	11:10				7.75	
15	08/08/12	10:35				7.64	
15	09/05/12	9:50				7.58	

Production Well Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
16	07/11/96	9:00	660.0	432	21.1	7.50	
16	09/11/97	10:11	632.0	317	22.8	7.10	
16	07/06/98	14:35	710.0	500	21.1	7.10	
16	08/20/99	10:30	690.0	480	21.1	7.20	
16	08/22/00	8:25	695.0	485	23.3	7.30	
16	07/02/01	9:30	710.0	490	21.1	6.90	
16	09/09/02	8:00	705.0	480	21.1	6.70	
16	09/25/03						
16	08/03/04		550.0	360	21.7	7.20	
16	10/11/05	11:00	518.0	337	18.9	6.58	
16	11/06/06						
16	12/04/06	2:03	549.0	318	18.1	6.59	1.04
16	02/06/07	10:55	569.0	330	19.4	6.53	0.97
16	03/07/07	9:00	553.0	321	18.5	6.55	0.81
16	04/16/07	13:26	560.0	325	18.9	6.39	0.70
16	07/10/07	9:45	658.0	382	25.2	6.71	0.77
16	08/09/07	10:33	689.0	400	25.6	6.65	1.25
16	09/11/07	10:31	707.5	410	26.1	6.70	0.28
16	10/02/07	10:18	711.3	413	26.2	6.69	0.22
16	01/09/08	12:35	525.3	341	19.0	6.41	0.46
16	02/05/08	9:28	520.6	338	18.8	6.43	0.47
16	03/13/08	8:54	536.1	349	19.2	6.36	0.40
16	04/01/08	10:14	532.4	346	18.9	6.38	0.23
16	05/09/08	12:21	524.3	341	18.9	6.32	0.26
16	06/18/08	13:16	566.5	368	20.3	6.36	0.53
16	07/30/08	10:54	531.1	345	18.9	6.23	0.35
16	08/19/08	11:05	670.4	436	24.4	6.36	0.42
16	09/11/08	13:18	709.5	461	26.0	6.42	0.28
16	10/21/08	14:32	508.4	331	18.8	6.10	0.44
16	11/13/08	10:02	536.2	349	19.2	6.01	0.55
16	12/02/08	13:58	530.2	345	19.1	6.17	0.45
16	01/12/09	14:43	541.9	352	19.5	6.29	0.73
16	02/24/09	14:26	529.2	344	18.8	6.06	0.50
16	03/25/09	14:51	528.6	344	19.0	5.73	0.68
16	04/21/09	10:24	523.4	340	18.8	6.38	0.67
16	05/28/09	13:23	528.2	343	18.8	6.32	1.05
16	06/24/09	13:06	534.9	348	18.9 18.9	6.30 6.32	2.86 2.72
16	07/09/09	11:10	538.2 527.3	350		6.29	3.08
16 46	08/12/09	12:46		343	18.9	6.49	2.85
16 16	09/22/09	12:41	662.7	431 340	24.1 18.8	6.49	2.85 0.84
16 16	10/21/09	15:03 13:06	523.5 528.1		18.8 18.9	6.18	3.54
16 16	04/15/10	13:06 14:25	528.1 528.2	343 343	18.9 18.8	6.34	3.83
	05/12/10						
16	01/19/11	13:15	530.7	345	18.8	6.42	1.02

Production Well Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
16	04/14/11	14:16	560.9	365	19.5	6.40	0.72
16	05/11/11	13:31	538.3	350	18.9	6.36	0.32
16	06/16/11	11:09	543.3	353	18.8	6.33	0.94
16	07/27/11	9:35				6.61	
16	08/16/11	11:40				6.44	
16	09/13/11	11:15				6.63	
16	10/12/11	11:00				6.64	
16	11/09/11	15:08	553.4	360	18.9	6.42	1.65
16	12/20/11	13:19	553.5	360	18.7	6.41	0.88
16	01/11/12	13:30				6.48	
16	02/09/12	14:52	562.6	366	18.8	6.35	0.96
16	03/21/12	13:40				6.61	
16	04/10/12	13:45				6.63	
16	05/17/12	9:30				6.74	
16	07/17/12	12:35				6.64	
16	08/08/12	9:50				6.92	
16	09/05/12	14:20				6.77	

Production Well Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
17	07/11/96	8:45	360.0	265	18.3	7.30	
17	07/06/98	9:15	350.0	280	15.6	7.10	
17	08/20/99	10:10	350.0	280	16.1	7.20	
17	08/22/00	8:40	355.0	276	17.2	7.20	
17	07/02/01	9:10	410.0	310	15.6	6.70	
17	09/03/02	8:30	400.0	290	16.1	6.60	
17	09/25/03	8:55	420.0	282	16.7	6.50	
17	08/03/04		410.0	270	15.6	7.50	
17	10/11/05	12:20	484.0	315	23.9	6.78	2.01
17	11/06/06	12:30		274	23.3	7.06	0.91
17	12/04/06	2:35	478.0	277	22.8	7.05	1.91
17	01/09/07		463.1	269	22.2	6.99	0.89
17	02/06/07	8:15	453.9	263	22.8	6.81	0.67
17	03/07/07	9:30	448.6	260	23.3	6.76	0.83
17	04/16/07	14:40	414.2	240	21.6	6.64	0.77
17	05/01/07	11:05	384.4	223	21.1	6.71	0.67
17	06/07/07	10:40	444.3	258	22.9	7.29	0.61
17	07/10/07	15:10	448.7	260	23.7	6.87	0.55
17	08/09/07	9:55	496.5	288	25.6	6.74	1.66
17	09/11/07	11:02	390.0	226	21.9	6.88	0.32
17	10/02/07	11:27	510.5	337	25.8	6.58	2.09
17	11/19/07	14:13	498	324	25.0	6.80	2.05
17	12/11/07	15:48	490.9	319	24.7	6.81	3.34
17	01/09/08	13:07	474.0	308	24.5	6.71	1.91
17	02/05/08	13:11	468.4	304	24.2	6.77	2.08
17	03/13/08	9:20	460.4	299	24.0	6.68	2.12
17	03/13/08	9:20	460.4	299	24.0	6.68	2.12
17	04/01/08	10:42	461.8	300	23.6	6.65	2.01
17	05/09/08	12:41	458.6	298	23.5	6.68	1.80
17	06/18/08	13:40	488.4	318	25.0	6.66	1.70
17	07/30/08	12:43	466.1	303	24.5	6.56	1.27
17	08/19/08	13:03	509.7	331	26.3	6.55	1.39
17	09/11/08	11:23	524.8	341	26.9	6.64	1.50
17	10/21/08	15:08	497.8	324	26.0	6.52	2.06
17	11/13/08	13:44	503.0	327	26.1	6.52	1.93
17	12/02/08	15:09	487.8	317	25.6	6.55	1.85
17	01/12/09	15:15	465.8	303	24.9	6.55	1.93 2.37
17	02/24/09	14:56	459.6	299	24.4 24.2	6.52 6.38	1.93
17	03/25/09	14:26	458.0	298	24.2 24.1	6.76	1.66
17 47	04/21/09	10:44	449.4 460.3	292 299	24.1 23.7	6.76	2.69
17 17	05/28/09 06/24/09	11:22 13:55	460.3 450.0	299 293	23.4	6.71	2.5 3 2.54
17 17	06/24/09	11:50	450.0 470.5	306	23.4 24.8	6.71	2.34 1.46
	07/09/09	13:18	443.6	288	24.8 23.7	6.67	2.33
17	00/12/09	12.10	443.0	200	23./	0.07	در.2

Production Well Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
17	09/22/09	13:17	504.2	328	26.3	6.70	2.95
17	10/21/09	14:41	511.4	332	26.5	6.70	1.59
17	11/17/09	13:58	495.6	322	25.9	6.71	2.90
17	12/23/09	15:09	472.4	307	25.1	6.73	4.25
17	01/29/10	12:54	461.3	300	24.5	6.74	3.29
17	02/26/10	13:16	461.0	300	24.3	6.74	3.49
17	03/16/10	13:22	450.3	293	24.0	6.82	4.97
17	04/15/10	12:37	426.6	277	23.7	6.78	3.72
17	05/12/10	13:04	441.7	287	18.8	6.74	5.01
17	01/19/11	13:56	409.6	266	22.3	6.80	3.27
17	02/07/11	13:58	409.8	266	22.2	6.82	4.73
17	04/14/11	13:48	400.5	260	21.5	6.81	6.18
17	05/11/11	13:59	386.1	251	21.4	6.73	2.34
17	06/16/11	12:50	401.7	261	21.4	6.75	2.44
17	07/27/11	9:50				6.99	
17	08/16/11	11:10				6.91	
17	09/13/11	12:45				7.31	
17	10/12/11	10:50				7.04	
17	11/09/11	14:53	377.2	245	20.2	6.84	2.60
17	12/20/11	14:10	370.7	241	19.8	6.79	2.65
17	01/11/12	14:00				7.00	
17	02/09/12	14:21	346.6	225	19.3	6.77	3.68
17	03/21/12	14:10				7.01	
17	04/10/12	14:00				7.00	
17	05/17/12	9:15				7.06	
17	06/19/12	10:50				7.01	
17	07/17/12	13:00				7.12	
17	08/08/12	10:10				7.08	
17	09/05/12	14:40				6.95	

Production Well Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
18	07/11/96	8:15	540.0	332	8.3	7.10	
18	09/12/97	13:40	500.0	251	20.0	7.10	
18	07/06/98	14:15	490.0	350	21.1	6.90	
18	08/20/99	11:30	510.0	355	19.4	7.10	
18	08/22/00	8:20	505.0	346	20.0	7.10	
18	07/02/01	10:15	530.0	370	19.4	6.40	
18	09/05/02	8:45	535.0	310	18.3	6.80	
18	09/25/03	10:40	637.0	434	15.6	6.70	
18	08/03/04		560.0	370	16.7	7.30	
18	10/11/05	13:20	559.0	363	18.9	6.58	2.09
18	11/06/06	10:40	543.0	315	18.3	6.91	1.71
18	12/04/06	10:04	539.0	313	18.7	6.68	0.69
18	01/09/07		539.0	313	18.1	6.63	1.42
18	02/06/07	10:35	541.0	314	18.3	6.73	1.47
18	03/06/07	12:33	456.5	265	18.3	6.61	0.51
18	04/17/07	9:00	537.0	311	18.2	6.59	0.61
18	05/01/07	9:50	535.0	310	18.8	6.54	0.53
18	06/07/07	12:50	542.0	314	18.8	6.97	0.74
18	07/10/07	13:50	545.0	316	17.5	6.52	0.72
18	08/09/07	9:26	509.2	295	18.5	6.62	1.81
18	09/11/07	8:59	551.5	320	16.7	6.57	0.55
18	10/02/07	13:13	534	303	18.3	6.55	0.16
18	11/19/07	9:47	498.3	324	17.7	6.60	0.77
18	12/11/07	13:22	504.0	328	17.6	6.58	0.91
18	01/09/08	15:11	510.9	332	17.7	6.44	0.36
18	02/05/08	15:29	516.6	336	18.1	6.48	0.77
18	04/01/08	9:54	504.3	328	17.7	6.47	0.27
18	05/09/08	10:09	464.4	302	17.4	6.36	0.39
18	06/18/08	10:15	479.3	312	17.6	6.31	0.47
18	07/30/08	9:16	565.7	368	16.4	6.31	2.07
18	10/21/08	10:25	563.7	366	19.3	6.26	4.50
18	11/13/08	11:03	554.3	360	19.1	6.20	4.47
18	12/02/08	11:05	549.9	357	19.1	6.27	6.45
18	01/12/09	11:34	580.0	377	16.6	6.29	6.96
18	02/24/09	12:02	526.8	342	18.7	6.28	5.10
18	03/25/09	10:36	550.9	358	17.0	6.15	4.60
18	04/21/09	9:10	568.3	369	19.5	6.46	5.96
18	05/27/09	13:07	500.1	325	19.2	6.43	5.54 7.23
18	06/24/09	11:03	520.2	338	18.8	6.49	
18	07/09/09	9:58	530.5	345	19.2 19.1	6.52 6.50	7.41 9.19
18	08/12/09	10:53	545.8	355 356	19.1 19.1	6.50	7.24
18	09/22/09	14:03	547.4	356 359	19.1 19.0	6.45	7.2 4 6.88
18	10/21/09	11:18	552.7 548.4	359 357	19.0 19.0	6.45	8.41
18	12/22/09	11:20	548.4	55/	13.0	0.45	0.41

uction Il Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
18	01/19/11	11:23	557.0	362	19.3	6.62	6.48
18	02/07/11	12:58	563.4	366	18.9	6.63	6.20
18	04/14/11	10:32	567.2	369	18.9	6.56	10.00
18	05/11/11	10:11	553.2	360	19.0	6.49	10.58
18	06/16/11	10:56	294.0	191	13.6	6.43	1.84
18	07/26/11	11:30				6.71	
18	08/18/11	8:45				6.85	
18	09/13/11	10:45				7.08	
18	10/12/11	10:00				6.88	
18	11/09/11	14:38	300.1	195	13.8	6.52	3.50
18	12/20/11	10:44	557.0	362	18.9	6.46	9.90
18	01/11/12	11:20				7.04	
18	02/09/12	12:37	569.3	370	19.0	6.61	7.47
18	03/21/12	12:45	•			6.83	
18	04/10/12	11:00				6.83	
18	05/17/12	10:00				6.79	
18	07/17/12	9:55				6.90	
18	08/08/12	11:00				6.93	

Production Well Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
20	07/11/96	9:20	217.0	164	15.0	7.10	
20	09/11/97	9:57	336.0	168	16.1	6.90	
20	08/20/99	11:00	310.0	210	15.6	7.10	
20	08/22/00	9:00	305.0	190	16.1	7.10	
20	07/27/01	8:45	340.0	250	15.6	6.80	
20	09/05/02	9:30	400.0	195	17.2	6.60	
20	09/25/03	9:05	387.0	259	13.3	6.70	
20	08/03/04		290.0	200	15.6	7.20	
20	10/11/05	11:15	293.0	190	16.1	6.53	4.96
20	12/04/06	1:35	200.0	151	13.3	6.75	2.61
20	01/09/07		253.1	147	13.0	6.73	2.96
20	02/06/07	8:51	250.1	145	12.8	6.71	2.32
20	03/07/07	10:10	262.0	152	12.7	6.65	1.30
20	04/16/07	13:44	270.1	157	14.1	7.27	1.12
20	05/01/07	12:45	283.3	164	16.0	6.54	0.85
20	06/07/07	10:20	269.4	156	13.7	7.25	0.83
20	07/10/07	10:20	373.3	217	18.5	6.61	0.78
20	08/09/07	10:50	388.4	225	18.3	6.50	5.58
20	09/11/07	10:47	406.3	236	18.9	6.59	6.55
20	10/02/07	10:33	410.4	237	19.1	6.58	5.35
20	07/30/08	11:28	270.7	176	12.8	6.37	6.94
20	08/19/08	11:32	338.6	220	15.5	6.22	5.82
20	09/11/08	13:07	392.5	255	18.2	6.36	4.73
20	10/21/08	14:48	344.0	224	15.0	6.14	8.25
20	11/13/08	10:17	339.7	221	15.2	5.93	6.59
20	12/02/08	14:18	330.7	215	14.8	6.05	6.39
20	01/12/09	15:36	310.8	202	14.3	6.23	8.78
20	02/24/09	15:24	296.2	193	13.8	6.17	7.00
20	03/25/09	13:55	288.0	187	13.8	6.03	7.90
20	04/21/09	11:03	304.2	198	13.9	6.50	5.00
20	05/28/09	13:08	290.0	189	13.8	6.46	8.49
20	06/24/09	13:20	313.8	204	13.9	6.39	8.80
20	07/09/09	11:25	320.7	209	14.6	6.36	11.94 12.29
20	08/12/09	13:03 12:29	294.1	191	14.1	6.41 6.35	6.72
20	09/22/09 05/12/10	15:23	384.3 286.1	250 186	17.8 13.4	6.53	6.39
20	• •	15:25 14:11	281.6	183	13.4	6.33	1.78
20 20	01/19/11 02/07/11	14.11 13:44	282.5	184	13.4	6.58	1.64
20 20	02/07/11	14:18	192.0	125	7.7	6.86	4.99
20	02/07/11	13:16	296.1	193	13.5	6.51	1.58
20 20	05/11/11	12:58	288.0	193 187	7.5	6.41	1.91
20 20	06/16/11	10:56	294.0	191	7.5 13.6	6.43	1.84
20 20	07/26/11	9:25	234.0	131	13.0	6.48	1.07
20 20		9:20				6.46	
20	08/16/11	5.20				0.40	


Production Well Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Specific Conductance umho/cm	TDS mg/L	Temp C	рН	Dissolved Oxygen mg/L
20	09/13/11	11:45				6.70	
20	10/12/11	10:35				6.73	
20	11/09/11	14:38	300.1	195	13.8	6.52	3.50
20	12/20/11	13:53	291.0	189	13.5	6.55	2.06
20	01/11/12	13:25				6.95	
20	02/09/12	15:04	294.5	191	13.5	6.41	1.82
20	03/21/12	13:55				6.73	
20	04/10/12	14:10				6.66	
20	05/17/12	9:40				6.68	
20	06/19/12	10:40				6.53	
20	07/17/12	12:45				6.77	
20	08/08/12	10:00				6.67	
20	09/05/12	14:25				6.67	


APPENDIX F


MAMMOTH CREEK STREAMFLOW


Mammoth Creek at Old Mammoth Road


Daily discharge in cubic feet per second	e in cubic fe	et per second	-									
1	7,000			2017								
Dav	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
1	12.99	10.43	8.56	7.29	7.74	8.85	13.46	34.29	22.30	13.30	8.74	5.81
2	9.70	9.90	9.33	7.17	7.52	8.58	11.67	39.99	31.27	11.79	7.74	6.01
3	9.18	11.73	8.49	7.29	7.39	8.15	11.38	46.16	28.40	10.90	7.57	6.04
4	12.02	13.58	8.75	7.18	7.30	7.89	11.27	38.64	33.63	10.28	7.93	5.91
5	24.57	14.61	8.98	7.26	7.11	7.89	10.62	32.11	33.08	10.54	8.38	6.50
9	22.99	16.97	9.27	7.27	7.15	7.80	10.26	31.42	22.74	11.61	7.51	6.56
7	18.83	12.52	9.02	7.16	7.27	8.48	10.34	28.44	26.67	11.72	7.23	6.03
8	17.84	12.26	9.12	7.37	7.45	8.03	10.20	27.09	23.70	11.60	7.06	6.15
6	16.23	12.76	9.22	7.48	7.36	8.04	10.76	27.22	20.89	11.07	7.14	6.26
10	15.55	12.63	9.27	7.42	7.48	8.14	10.72	30.04	21.75	10.54	6.80	5.68
11	16.34	12.98	9.24	7.31	7.28	7.85	11.70	35.80	21.61	10.95	6.73	5.69
12	15.16	15.49	9.18	7.53	7.37	7.98	11.37	35.64	21.97	11.61	7.30	5.86
13	15.46	11.65	9.11	7.26	8.52	8.56	12.21	36.61	20.24	11.21	7.61	5.85
14	14.35	12.26	9.20	7.32	7.73	8.75	11.03	44.82	19.48	11.29	7.75	5.77
15	14.53	11.23	8.97	7.48	8.13	9.62	10.50	38.96	19.53	10.61	7.07	5.64
16	12.95	10.01	8.57	6.63	7.90	10.53	10.98	39.06	19.82	10.93	6.80	5.59
17	13.49	10.49	9.10	7.30	7.54	13.57	11.14	40.83	19.84	10.45	6.95	5.50
18	13.90	11.70	9.08	7.67	7.62	14.79	11.53	39.90	19.11	10.54	7.06	5.71
19	12.59	9.94	8.91	7.66	7.50	14.13	12.61	36.60	18.33	9.62	7.13	2.66
20	12.66	11.06	8.82	8.90	7.30	12.88	13.44	35.16	18.17	9.41	6.73	5.65
21	12.62	11.06	8.36	22.44	7.27	11.67	14.24	38.28	17.75	9.35	6.40	2.66
22	11.75	13.04	7.53	15.82	7.32	11.10	26.27	39.19	17.43	9.52	7.54	5.52
23	12.44	11.16	7.73	17.42	7.21	11.13	28.36	38.56	17.44	10.20	7.24	5.63
24	12.44	9.70	7.66	17.47	7.31	10.63	32.77	36.14	17.18	9.56	6.63	5.29
25	10.93	9.47	7.75	13.56	7.16	10.54	31.35	32.87	17.27	9.81	6.54	4.88
26	11.32	9.51	7.69	11.31	7.05	10.74	37.81	32.10	15.25	9.91	6.47	5.60
27	9.22	9.75	7.54	10.22	7.36	10.36	31.23	27.23	15.06	9.84	6.04	2.60
28	13.03	9.47	7.68	8.92	7.67	11.95	27.97	21.91	14.16	9.71	6.12	5.73
29	11.30	9.50	7.59	8.40	7.41	11.78	31.24	21.38	13.64	9.62	5.96	5.73
30	10.87	9.42	7.21	8.01		12.72	34.33	22.19	13.49	9.45	6.16	
31	10.72		7.12	7.74		13.41		22.51		9.30	6.18	
Average	13.81	11.54	8.52	9.33	7.46	10.21	17.43	33.91	20.71	10.52	7.05	5.78
Maximum	24.57	16.97	9.33	22.44	8.52	14.79	37.81	46.16	33.63	13.30	8.74	6.56
Minimum	9.18	9.42	7.12	6.63	7.05	7.80	10.20	21.38	13.49	9.30	5.96	4.88



































, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

Twin Lakes Outflow

Daily discharg	ge in cubic fo	Daily discharge in cubic feet per second										
	2011			2012								
Day	히	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
П	12.69	9.33	9.33	6.91	6.34	8.09	7.49	32.60	24.62	9.98	9.33	96.6
2	8.70	11.31	9.33	6.91	6.34	7.49	6.91	37.90	25.50	9.33	9.33	9:98
3	86.6	11.31	9.33	6.91	6.34	7.49	6.91	38.92	31.98	9.33	9.33	9.98
4	17.93	12.69	8.70	6.91	5.79	6.91	6.34	31.02	27.30	9.33	10.63	96.6
5	23.75	12.69	8.70	6.91	5.79	6.91	6.34	25.50	22.03	9.98	86.6	10.63
9	18.73	12.69	8.70	6.91	5.79	7.49	6.34	26.40	20.36	10.63	9.33	96.6
7	16.37	11.31	8.70	6.91	5.79	7.49	6.34	23.75	22.03	10.63	9.33	86.6
8	14.86	11.31	8.70	6.91	6.34	7.49	6.34	23.75	20.36	10.63	9.33	86.6
6	14.13	11.99	8.70	7.49	6.34	7.49	6.34	23.75	17.93	10.63	9.33	9.33
10	14.86	11.99	8.70	7.49	6.34	7.49	6.34	28.22	19.54	10.63	9.33	9.33
11	15.61	12.69	8.70	7.49	6.34	6.91	6.91	30.08	19.54	10.63	9.33	9.33
12	13.40	14.86	8.70	7.49	7.49	7.49	8.70	31.98	18.73	10.63	86.6	8.70
13	14.13	11.31	8.70	6.91	6.91	7.49	7.49	32.94	17.15	10.63	9.98	8.70
14	14.13	9.98	8.70	6.91	6.91	7.49	6.91	40.99	17.93	9.98	9.98	8.70
15	12.69	9.98	8.70	5.79	6.91	7.49	6.91	37.90	17.93	10.63	9.33	8.70
16	12.69	86.6	8.70	6.91	6.91	11.31	6.34	43.09	17.93	11.99	9.33	8.70
17	13.40	11.31	8.70	6.91	6.91	14.86	6.34	32.94	17.93	10.63	86.6	8.70
18	12.69	9.33	8.70	6.91	6.91	12.69	6.91	32.94	17.15	10.63	9.98	8.70
19	11.99	11.31	8.70	6.34	6.91	10.63	7.49	32.94	16.37	10.63	9.98	8.09
20	12.69	10.63	8.70	6.91	6.91	9.33	8.09	35.89	15.61	9.98	86.6	8.09
21		10.63	8.09	16.37	6.91	7.49	18.73	33.92	15.61	9.98	86.6	8.09
22	11.99	12.69	7.49	14.86	6.91	6.91	22.03	35.89	15.61	10.63	10.63	7.49
23	11.99	10.63	7.49	15.61	6.91	6.91	23.75	33.92	15.61	10.63	9.98	7.49
24	11.31	9.33	7.49	11.31	6.34	6.91	22.03	31.98	15.61	10.63	9.98	6.34
25	10.63	9.33	7.49	96.6	6.34	7.49	20.36	30.08	14.13	11.31	86.6	7.49
26	96.6	9.33	7.49	8.70	6.91	6.91	20.36	29.14	12.69	10.63	9.33	7.49
27	10.63	9.33	7.49	8.09	6.91	7.49	21.19	25.50	11.99	10.63	9.33	7.49
28	13.40	9.33	6.91	6.91	8.09	8.70	22.88	21.19	11.31	10.63	9.33	7.49
29		9.33	6.91	6.91	9.33	7.49	28.22	20.36	11.31	10.63	9.98	7.49
30	10.63	9.33	6.91	6.34		7.49	27.30	20.36	11.31	10.63	9.98	
31	10.63		6.91	6.34		8.09		18.73		10.63	9.98	
Average	13.20	10.91	8.28	8.07	6.72	8.13	12.15	30.47	18.10	10.47	9.73	8.71
Maximum	23.75	14.86	9.33	16.37	9.33	14.86	28.22	43.09	31.98	11.99	10.63	10.63
Minimum	8.70	9.33	6.91	5.79	5.79	6.91	6.34	18.73	11.31	9.33	9.33	6.34